# Quotient probabilistic normed spaces and completeness results

BERNARDO LAFUERZA-GUILLÉN $^1$  , DONAL O'REGAN $^2$  and REZA SAADATI  $^3$ 

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Estadística y Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Sciences, University of Shomal, Amol, Iran E-mail: blafuerz@ual.es; donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie; rsaadati@eml.cc

MS received 8 December 2005; revised 30 March 2006

**Abstract.** We introduce the concept of quotient in PN spaces and give some examples. We prove some theorems with regard to the completeness of a quotient.

**Keywords.** Probabilistic normed space; probabilistic norm; triangle functions; quotient probabilistic normed space;  $\sigma$ -product.

#### · 1. Introduction

In the literature devoted to the theory of probabilistic normed spaces (PN spaces, briefly), topological and completeness questions, boundedness and compactness concepts [4, 5, 7], linear operators, probabilistic norms for linear operators [6], product spaces [3] and fixed point theorems have been studied by various authors. However quotient spaces of PN spaces have never been considered. This note is a first attempt to fill this gap.

The present paper is organized as follows. In §2 all necessary preliminaries are recalled and notation is established. In §3, the quotient space of a PN space with respect to one of its subspaces is introduced and its properties are studied. Finally, in §4, we investigate the completeness relationship among the PN spaces considered.

### 2. Definitions and preliminaries

In the sequel, the space of all probability distribution functions (briefly, d.f.'s) is  $\Delta^+ = \{F: \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \longrightarrow [0, 1]: F \text{ is left-continuous and non-decreasing on } \mathbf{R}, F(0) = 0 \text{ and } F(+\infty) = 1\}$  and the subset  $D^+ \subseteq \Delta^+$  is the set  $D^+ = \{F \in \Delta^+: l^-F(+\infty) = 1\}$ . Here  $l^-f(x)$  denotes the left limit of the function f at the point  $x, l^-f(x) = \lim_{t \to x^-} f(t)$ . The space  $\Delta^+$  is partially ordered by the usual point-wise ordering of functions, i.e.,  $F \leq G$  if and only if  $F(x) \leq G(x)$  for all x in  $\mathbf{R}$ . The maximal element for  $\Delta^+$  in this order is the d.f. given by

$$\varepsilon_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \le 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Also the minimal element for  $\Delta^+$  in this order is the d.f. given by

$$\varepsilon_{\infty} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \le \infty, \\ 1, & \text{if } x = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We assume that  $\Delta$  is metrized by the Sibley metric  $d_S$ , which is the modified Lévy metric [8, 9]. If F and G are d.f.'s and h is in (0, 1], let (F, G; h) denote the condition

$$F(x-h) - h < G(x) < F(x+h) + h$$

for all x in (-1/h, 1/h). Then the modified Lévy metric (Sibley metric) is defined by

$$d_S(F, G) := \inf\{h > 0 : \text{both } (F, G; h) \text{ and } (G, F; h) \text{ hold}\}.$$

For any F in  $\Delta^+$ ,

$$d_S(F, \varepsilon_0) = \inf\{h > 0: (F, \varepsilon_0; h) \text{ holds}\}\$$
  
=  $\inf\{h > 0: F(h^+) > 1 - h\},$ 

and for any t > 0,

$$F(t) > 1 - t \Longleftrightarrow d_S(F, \varepsilon_0) < t.$$

It follows that, for every F, G in  $\Delta^+$ ,

$$F \leq G \Longrightarrow d_S(G, \varepsilon_0) \leq d_S(F, \varepsilon_0).$$

A sequence  $(F_n)$  of d.f.'s converges weakly to a d.f. F if and only if the sequence  $(F_n(x))$  converges to F(x) at each continuity point x of F. For the proof of the next theorem see Theorem 4.2.5 of [8].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(F_n)$  be a sequence of functions in  $\Delta$ , and let F be in  $\Delta$ . Then  $F_n \to F$  weakly if and only if  $d_S(F_n, F) \to 0$ .

#### **DEFINITION 2.2**

A triangular norm T (briefly, a t-norm) is an associative binary operation on [0, 1] (henceforth, I) that is commutative, nondecreasing in each place, such that T(a, 1) = a for all  $a \in I$ .

# **DEFINITION 2.3**

Let T be a binary operation on I. Denote by  $T^*$  the function defined by  $T^*(a,b) := 1 - T(1-a, 1-b)$  for all  $a, b \in I$ . If T is a t-norm, then  $T^*$  will be called the t-conorm of T. A function S is a t-conorm if there is a t-norm T such that  $S = T^*$ .

Clearly,  $T^*$  is itself a binary operation on I, and  $T^{**} = T$ . Instances of such t-norms and t-conorms are M and  $M^*$ , respectively, defined by  $M(x, y) = \min(x, y)$  and  $M^*(x, y) = \max(x, y)$ .

#### **DEFINITION 2.4**

A triangle function  $\tau$  is an associative binary operation on  $\Delta^+$  that is commutative, non-decreasing in each place, and has  $\varepsilon_0$  as identity.

Also we let  $\tau^1 = \tau$  and

$$\tau^n(F_1,\ldots,F_{n+1}) = \tau(\tau^{n-1}(F_1,\ldots,F_n),F_{n+1}) \text{ for } n \ge 2.$$

Let T be a left-continuous t-norm and  $T^*$  a right-continuous t-conorm. Then instances of such triangle functions are  $\tau_T$  and  $\tau_{T^*}$  defined for all F,  $G \in \Delta^+$  and every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , respectively, by

$$\tau_T(F, G)(x) = \sup\{T(F(u), G(v)) \mid u + v = x\}$$

and

$$\tau_{T^*}(F, G)(x) = \ell^- \inf\{T^*(F(u), G(v)) \mid u + v = x\}.$$

The triangular function  $\tau$  is said to be *Archimedean* on  $\Delta^+$  if  $\tau(F, G) < F$  for any F, G in  $\Delta^+$ , such that  $F \neq \varepsilon_{\infty}$  and  $G \neq \varepsilon_0$ .

#### **DEFINITION 2.5**

Let  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  be two triangle functions. Then  $\tau_1$  dominates  $\tau_2$ , and we write  $\tau_1 \gg \tau_2$ , if for all  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2 \in \Delta^+$ ,

$$\tau_1(\tau_2(F_1, G_1), \tau_2(F_2, G_2)) \ge \tau_2(\tau_1(F_1, F_2), \tau_1(G_1, G_2)).$$

In 1993, Alsina, Schweizer and Sklar [1] gave a new definition of a probabilistic normed space as follows:

#### **DEFINITION 2.6**

A probabilistic normed space, briefly a PN space, is a quadruple  $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$  in which V is a linear space,  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  are continuous triangle functions with  $\tau \leq \tau^*$  and  $\nu$ , the probabilistic norm, is a map  $\nu: V \to \Delta^+$  such that

- (N1)  $v_p = \varepsilon_0$  if and only if  $p = \theta$ ,  $\theta$  being the null vector in V;
- (N2)  $\nu_{-p} = \nu_p$  for every  $p \in V$ ;
- (N3)  $v_{p+q} \ge \tau(v_p, v_q)$  for all  $p, q \in V$ ;
- (N4)  $\nu_p \le \tau^*(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p})$  for every  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and for every  $p \in V$ .

If, instead of (N1), we only have  $v_{\theta} = \varepsilon_0$ , then we shall speak of a *probabilistic pseudo normed space*, briefly a PPN space. If the inequality (N4) is replaced by the equality  $v_p = \tau_M(v_{\alpha p}, v_{(1-\alpha)p})$ , then the PN space is called a *Šerstnev space*; in this case,  $\varepsilon$  condition stronger than (N2) holds, namely

$$v_{\lambda p} = v_p \left( \frac{j}{|\lambda|} \right), \quad \forall \lambda \neq 0, \ \forall p \in V;$$

here j is the identity map on R. A Šerstnev space is denoted by  $(V, \nu, \tau)$ .

There is a natural topology in a PN space  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$ , called the *strong topology*; it is defined, for t > 0, by the neighbourhoods

$$N_p(t) := \{ q \in V \colon d_S(v_{q-p}, \epsilon_0) < t \} = \{ q \in V \colon v_{q-p}(t) > 1 - t \}.$$

The strong neighbourhood system for V is the union  $\bigcup_{p\in V} \mathcal{N}_p(\lambda)$  where  $\mathcal{N}_p = \{N_p(\lambda): \lambda > 0\}$ . The strong neighborhood system for V determines a Hausdorff topology for V.

A linear map  $T: (V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*) \to (V', \nu', \sigma, \sigma^*)$ , is said to be *strongly bounded*, if there exists a constant k > 0 such that, for all  $p \in V$  and x > 0,

$$\nu'_{Tp}(x) \ge \nu_p(x/k).$$

### **DEFINITION 2.7**

A Menger PN space is a PN space  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  in which  $\tau = \tau_T$  and  $\tau^* = \tau_{T^*}$  for some t-norm T and its t-conorm  $T^*$ . It will be denoted by (V, v, T).

#### **DEFINITION 2.8**

Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PN space. A sequence  $(p_n)_n$  in V is said to be *strongly convergent* to p in V if for each  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a positive integer N such that  $p_n \in N_p(\lambda)$ , for  $n \geq N$ . Also the sequence  $(p_n)_n$  in V is called a *strong Cauchy sequence* if, for every  $\lambda > 0$ , there is a positive integer N such that  $v_{p_n-p_m}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda$ , whenever m, n > N. A PN space  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  is said to be *strongly complete* in the strong topology if and only if every strong Cauchy sequence in V is strongly convergent to a point in V.

Lemma 2.9 [2]. If  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$ , then  $v_{\beta p} \leq v_{\alpha p}$  for every p in V.

### **DEFINITION 2.10**

Let  $(V_1, \nu_1, \tau, \tau^*)$  and  $(V_2, \nu_2, \tau, \tau^*)$  be two PN spaces under the same triangle functions  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a triangle function. The  $\sigma$ -product of the two PN spaces is the quadruple

$$(V_1 \times V_2, \nu_1 \sigma \nu_2, \tau, \tau^*),$$

where

$$v_1 \sigma v_2 \colon V_1 \times V_2 \longrightarrow \Delta^+$$

is a probabilistic semi-norm given by

$$(\nu_1 \sigma \nu_2)(p, q) := \sigma(\nu_1(p), \nu_2(q))$$

for all  $(p, q) \in V_1 \times V_2$ .

# 3. Quotient PN space

According to [8] (see Definition 12.9.3 in p. 215), one has the following:

### **DEFINITION 3.1**

A triangle function  $\tau$  is *sup-continuous* if, for every family  $\{F_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of d.f.'s in  $\Delta^{-}$  and every  $G \in \Delta^{+}$ ,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tau(F_{\lambda}, G) = \tau\left(\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_{\lambda}, G\right).$$

In view of Lemma 4.3.5 of [8], this supremum is in  $\Delta^+$ . An example of a *sup-continuous* triangle function is  $\tau_T$ , where T is a left continuous t-norm.

### **DEFINITION 3.2**

Let W be a linear subspace of V and denote by  $\sim_W$  a relation on the set V defined via

$$p_{\sim_W} q \Leftrightarrow p - q \in W,$$

for every  $p, q \in V$ .

Obviously this relationship is an equivalence relation and therefore the set V is partitioned into equivalence classes,  $V/\sim_W$ .

### **PROPOSITION 3.3**

Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PN space. Suppose that  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  are sup-continuous. Let W be a subspace of V and  $V/\sim_W$  its quotient defined by means of the equivalence relation  $\sim_W$ . Let v' be the restriction of v to W and define the mapping  $\bar{v}\colon V/\sim_W \to \Delta^+$ , for all  $p\in V$ , by

$$\bar{\nu}_{p+W}(x) := \sup_{q \in W} \{\nu_{p+q}(x)\}.$$

Then,  $(W, \nu', \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PN space and  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{\nu}, \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PPN space.

*Proof.* The first statement is immediate. The remainder of the theorem is guaranteed by the fact that W is not necessarily closed in the strong topology.

Notice that by Lemma 4.3.5 of [8],  $\bar{\nu}_{p+W}$  is in  $\Delta^+$ .

Hereafter we denote by  $p_W$  the subset p+W of V, i.e. an element of quotient, and the strong neighbourhood of  $p_W$  by  $N'_{p_W}(t)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let W be a linear subspace of V. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{\nu}, \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PN space;
- (b) W is closed in the strong topology of  $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PN space. For every p in the closure of W and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $q_n \in N_p(1/n) \cap W$ . Then

$$\bar{\nu}_{p_W}(1/n) = \sup_{q \in W} \nu_{p+q}(1/n) \ge \nu_{p-q_n}(1/n) > 1 - 1/n,$$

and hence,  $d_S(\bar{v}_{p_W}, \varepsilon_0) < 1/n$ . Thus  $p_W = W$  and hence,  $p \in W$  and W is closed.

Conversely, if W is closed, let  $p \in V$  be such that  $\bar{v}_{p_W} = \varepsilon_0$ . If  $p \notin W$ , then  $N_p(t) \cap W = \emptyset$ , for some t > 0. That is to say, for every  $q \in W$ ,  $v_{p-q}(t) \le 1 - t$ . Therefore  $\bar{v}_{p_W}(t) = \sup_{q \in W} v_{p+q}(t) \le 1 - t$ , which is a contradiction.

It is of interest to know whether a PN space can be obtained from a PPN space. An affirmative answer is provided by the following proposition.

#### **PROPOSITION 3.5**

Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PPN space and define

$$C=\{p\in V\colon v_p=\varepsilon_0\}.$$

Then C is the smallest closed subspace of  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$ .

*Proof.* If  $p,q \in C$ , then  $p+q \in C$  because  $v_{p+q} \geq \tau(v_p,v_q) = \varepsilon_0$ . Now suppose  $p \in C$ . For  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  one has  $v_{\alpha p} \geq v_p$  by Lemma 2.9. For  $\alpha > 1$ , let  $k = [\alpha] + 1$ . Then, using the iterates of (N3) one has,  $v_{kp} \geq \tau^{k-1}(v_p,\ldots,v_p) = \varepsilon_0$ . By the above-mentioned lemma one has  $v_{\alpha p} \geq v_{kp}$ . As a consequence,  $\alpha p$  belongs to C for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Furthermore it is easy to check that the set C is closed because of the continuity of the

probabilistic norm,  $\nu$  (see Theorem 1 in [2]).

Now, let W be a closed linear subspace of V and  $p \in C$ . Suppose that for some t > 0,  $N_p(t) \cap W = \emptyset$ , then  $\nu_p(t) \le 1 - t$ , which is a contradiction; hence  $C \subseteq W$ .

Remark 3.6. Moreover, with V and C as in Proposition 3.5, for all  $p \in V$  and  $r \in C$ , one has

$$\bar{\nu}_{p_W} \ge \nu_p = \nu_{p+r-r} \ge \tau(\nu_{p+r}, \nu_{-r}) = \nu_{p+r}.$$

Thus the probabilistic norm  $\bar{\nu}$  in  $(V/\sim_C, \bar{\nu}, \tau, \tau^*)$  coincides with that of  $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$ .

Example 3.7. Let (V, v, T) be a Menger PN space. Suppose that W is a closed subspace of V, and  $V/\sim_W$  its quotient. Then (W, v', T) and  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{v}, T)$  are Menger PN spaces.

### **COROLLARY 3.8**

Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a Šerstnev PN space. Suppose that  $\tau$  is sup-continuous. Let W be a closed subspace of V and  $V/\sim_W$  its quotient. Then,  $(W, v', \tau, \tau^*)$  and  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{v}, \tau, \tau^*)$  are Šerstnev PN spaces.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PN space. Suppose that  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  are sup-continuous. Let W be a closed subspace of V with respect to the strong topology of  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$ . Let

$$\pi: V \to V/\sim_W$$

be the canonical projection. Then  $\pi$  is strongly bounded, open, and continuous with respect to the strong topologies of  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  and  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{v}, \tau, \tau^*)$ . In addition, the strong topology and the quotient topology on  $V/\sim_W$ , induced by  $\pi$ , coincide.

*Proof.* One has that  $\bar{\nu}_{p_W} \ge \nu_p$  which implies  $\pi$  is strongly bounded, and hence continuous (see Theorem 3.3 in [5]).

The map  $\pi$  is open because of the equality  $\pi(N_p(t)) = N'_{p_w}(t)$ .

Example 3.10. Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and define  $v: V \to \Delta^+$  via  $v_p := \varepsilon_{\|p\|}$  for every  $p \in V$ . Let  $\tau$ ,  $\tau^*$  be continuous triangle functions such that  $\tau \leq \tau^*$  and  $\tau(\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b) = \varepsilon_{a+b}$ , for all a, b > 0. For instance, it suffices to take  $\tau = \tau_T$  and  $\tau^* = \tau_{T^*}$ , where T is a continuous t-norm and  $T^*$  is its t-conorm. Then  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PN space (see Example 1.1 of [5]).

A( 8.1.3

Assume that  $\tau$  is sup-continuous. Let W be a closed linear subspace of V with respect to the strong topology of  $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $(V/\sim_W, \mu, \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PN space in which,  $\mu_{p_W} = \sup_{w \in W} \varepsilon_{\parallel p + w \parallel}$ . On the other hand, if one considers the normed space  $(V/\sim_W, \|\cdot\|')$ , where  $\|p_W\|' = \inf_{w \in W} \|p + w\|$ , then one can easily prove that the PN structure given to the normed space  $(V/\sim_W, \|\cdot\|')$  by means of  $\eta_{p_W} := \varepsilon_{\parallel p_W \parallel'}$  coincides with  $(V/\sim_W, \eta, \tau, \tau^*)$ .

# 4. Completeness results

Here we study the completeness of a quotient PN space. When a PN space  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  is strongly complete, then we say that it is a probabilistic normed Banach (henceforth PNB) space.

Lemma 4.1. Given the PN space  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{\nu}, \tau, \tau^*)$  in which  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  are sup-continuous, let W be a closed subspace of V.

- (i) If  $p \in V$ , then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a p' in V such that p' + W = p + W and  $d_S(v_{p'}, \varepsilon_0) < d_S(\bar{v}_{p+W}, \varepsilon_0) + \epsilon$ .
- (ii) If p is in V and  $\bar{v}_{p+W} \geq G$  for some d.f.  $G \neq \varepsilon_0$ , then there exists  $p' \in V$  such that p+W=p'+W and  $v_{p'} \geq \tau(\bar{v}_{p+W},G)$ .

Proof.

(i) We know

$$\bar{\nu}_{p+W} = \sup\{\nu_{p-q} \colon q \in W\}.$$

Now, let q be an element of W such that

$$\bar{\nu}_{p+W} < \nu_{p-q} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

We put p - q = p'. Now,

$$d_{S}(\bar{\nu}_{p+W}, \varepsilon_{0}) = \inf\{h > 0: \bar{\nu}_{p+W}(h^{+}) > 1 - h\}$$

$$\geq \inf\left\{h > 0: \nu_{p'}(h^{+}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > 1 - h\right\}$$

$$= \inf\left\{h > 0: \nu_{p'}(h^{+}) > 1 - \left(h + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\right\}$$

$$\geq \inf\left\{h > 0: \nu_{p'}\left(\left(h + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^{+}\right) > 1 - \left(h + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\right\}$$

$$> d_{S}(\nu_{p'}, \varepsilon_{0}) - \epsilon.$$

(ii) Because of the definition of supremum and sup-continuity of  $\tau$ , there exists a  $q_n \in W$  such that  $q_n \to q$  if  $n \to +\infty$  and

$$v_{p+q_n} > \tau(\bar{v}_{p_W}, \varepsilon_0) - \frac{1}{n} \ge \tau(\bar{v}_{p_W}, G) - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Now it is enough to put p'=p+q and see that, when  $n\to +\infty$ , one has  $v_{p+q}\geq \tau(\bar{v}_{pW},G)$ .

Let p,q be elements of V such that  $d_S(v_{(p-q)+W}, \varepsilon_0) < \delta$  for some positive  $\delta$ . By Lemma 4.1, there is a  $q' \in V$  such that (p-q')+W=(p-q)+W and

$$d_S(v_{p-q'}, \varepsilon_0) < \delta.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let W be a closed subspace of V and suppose that  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PNB space with  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  sup-continuous. Then,  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{v}, \tau, \tau^*)$  is also a PNB space.

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  be a strong Cauchy sequence in  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{\nu}, \tau, \tau^*)$ , i.e. for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 = n_0(\delta) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $m, n > n_0$ ,

$$d_S(\bar{\nu}_{a_n-a_m}, \varepsilon_0) < \delta.$$

Now, define a strictly decreasing sequence  $(\delta_n)$  with  $\delta_n > 0$  in the following way: let  $\delta_1 > 0$  be such that  $\tau(B_{d_S}(\varepsilon_0; \delta_1) \times B_{d_S}(\varepsilon_0; \delta_1)) \subseteq B_{d_S}(\varepsilon_0; 1)$  where  $B_{d_S}(\varepsilon_0; \lambda) = \{F \in \Delta^+; d_S(F, \varepsilon_0) < \lambda\}$ . For n > 1, define  $\delta_n$  by induction in such a manner that

$$\tau(B_{d_S}(\varepsilon_0; \delta_n) \times B_{d_S}(\varepsilon_0; \delta_n)) \subseteq B_{d_S}\left(\varepsilon_0; \min\left(\frac{1}{n}, \delta_{n-1}\right)\right). \tag{1}$$

There is a subsequence  $(a_{n_i})$  of  $(a_n)$  with

$$d_S(\bar{\nu}_{a_{n_i}-a_{n_{i+1}}}, \varepsilon_0) < \delta_{i+1}. \tag{2}$$

Because of the definition of the canonical projection  $\pi$  one can say that  $\pi^{-1}(N'_{pw}(t)) = N_p(t)$  and consequently  $\pi^{-1}(a_{n_i}) = x_i$  exists. Inductively, from Lemma 4.1 we can find  $x_i \in V$  such that  $\pi(x_i) = a_{n_i}$  and then

$$d_{\mathcal{S}}(\nu_{x_i - x_{i+1}}, \varepsilon_0) < \delta_{i+1} \tag{3}$$

holds. We claim that  $(x_i)$  is a strong Cauchy sequence in  $(V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*)$ . By applying the relations (1), (2) and (3) to i = m - 1 and i = m - 2, and using Lemma 4.3.4 of [8], one obtains the inequalities

$$d_S(\nu_{x_m-x_{m-2}}, \varepsilon_0) \le d_S(\tau(\nu_{x_{m-1}-x_m}, \nu_{x_{m-2}-x_{m-1}}), \varepsilon_0)$$

$$< \min\left(\frac{1}{m-1}, \delta_{m-2}\right).$$

Following this reasoning, we obtain that  $d_S(v_{x_m-x_n}, \varepsilon_0) < 1/n$  and therefore,  $(x_i)$  is a strong Cauchy sequence. Since it was assumed that  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  is strongly complete,  $(x_i)$  is strongly convergent and hence, by the continuity of  $\pi$ ,  $(a_{n_i})$  is also strongly convergent. From this and taking into account the continuity of  $\tau$  and Lemma 4.3.4 of [8], one sees

that the whole sequence  $(a_n)$  strongly converges. The converse of the above theorem also holds.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PN space in which  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  sup-continuous, and let  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{v}, \tau, \tau^*)$  be its quotient space with respect to the closed subspace W. If any two of the three spaces V, W and  $V/\sim_W$  are strongly complete, so is the third.

needs to check is that V is strongly complete whenever both W and  $V/\sim_W$  are strongly complete. Suppose W and  $V/\sim_W$  are strongly complete PN spaces and  $(p_n)$  be a strong Cauchy sequence in V. Since  $\bar{\nu}_{(p_m-p_n)+W} \geq \nu_{p_m-p_n}$ 

whenever  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $(p_n + W)$  is strong Cauchy in  $V/\sim_W$  and, therefore, it

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(V_1, v^1, \tau, \tau^*), \ldots, (V_n, v^n, \tau, \tau^*)$  be PNB spaces in which  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$ are sup-continuous. Suppose that there is a triangle function  $\sigma$  such that  $\tau^*\gg\sigma$  and

such that 
$$H_n \longrightarrow \varepsilon_0$$
 and  $\bar{\nu}_{(p_n-q)+W} > H_n$ . Now by Lemma 4.1 there exists  $(q_n)$  in  $V$  such that  $q_n + W = (p_n - q) + W$  and

strongly converges to q + W for some  $q \in V$ . Thus there exists a sequence of d.f's  $(H_n)$ 

 $\nu_{q_n} > \tau(\bar{\nu}_{(p_n-q)+W}, H_n).$ 

Thus  $\nu_{q_n} \longrightarrow \varepsilon_0$  and consequently  $q_n \longrightarrow \theta$ . Therefore  $(p_n - q_n - q)$  is a strong Cauchy sequence in W and is strongly convergent to a point  $r \in W$  and implies that  $(p_n)$  strongly converges to r + q in V. Hence V is strongly complete.

 $\sigma \gg \tau$ . Then their  $\sigma$ -product is a PNB space. *Proof.* One proves for n = 2 (see Theorem 2 in [3]), and then we apply induction for an arbitrary n. Since the quotient norm of

$$\frac{V_1 \times V_2}{V_1 \times \theta_2} \ (\simeq V_2)$$
 is the same as  $\nu^2$  and the restriction of the product norm of  $V_1 \times V_2$  to  $V_1 \times \theta_2 (\simeq V_1)$  is

By Theorem 3.9 the following corollaries can be proved easily.

# **COROLLARY 4.5**

Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.3 and if W is a closed subset of V, the probabilistic norm  $\bar{\nu}$ :  $V/\sim_W \to \Delta^+$  in  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{\nu}, \tau, \tau^*)$  is uniformly continuous.

the same as  $v^1$  (see [3]), and in view of Theorem 4.3, the proof is complete.

*Proof.* Let  $\eta$  be a positive real number,  $\eta > 0$ . By Theorem 3.9 there exists a pair (p',q') in  $(V \times V)$  such that  $d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi(p-p')}, \varepsilon_0) < \eta$  and  $d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi(q-q')}, \varepsilon_0) < \eta$ , whenever  $d_S(v_{p-p'}, \varepsilon_0) < \eta \text{ and } d_S(v_{q-q'}, \varepsilon_0) < \eta.$ 

On the other hand, we have

$$ar{v}_{\pi(p'-q')} \geq au( au(ar{v}_{\pi(p-p')}, ar{v}_{\pi(q-q')}), ar{v}_{\pi(p-q)})$$

 $\bar{\nu}_{\pi(p-q)} \ge \tau(\tau(\bar{\nu}_{\pi(p-p')}, \bar{\nu}_{\pi(q-q')}), \bar{\nu}_{\pi(p'-q')}).$ 

Thus, from the relationship (12.1.5) and Lemma 12.2.1 in [8] it follows that for any h > 0there is an appropriate t > 0 such that

there is an appropriate 
$$i>0$$
 such that 
$$d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi(p-q)},\bar{\nu}_{\pi(p'-q')}) < h,$$

whenever  $p' \in N_p(\eta)$  and  $q' \in N_q(\eta)$ . This implies that  $\bar{\nu}$  is a uniformly continuous mapping from  $V/\sim_W$  into  $\Delta^+$ .

Also the inequality  $d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi((p+q)-(p'+q'))}, \varepsilon_0) \leq d_S(\nu_{(p+q)-(p'+q')}, \varepsilon_0)$  implies that  $(V/\sim_W, +)$  is a topological group.

#### **COROLLARY 4.6**

Let  $(V, v, \tau, \tau^*)$  be a PN space such that  $\tau^*$  is Archimedean,  $\tau$  and  $\tau^*$  are sup-continuous, and  $v_p \neq \varepsilon_\infty$  for all  $p \in V$ . If we define quotient probabilistic norm via Proposition 3.3, then  $(V/\sim_W, \bar{v}, \tau, \tau^*)$  is a PPN space where the scalar multiplication is a continuous mapping from  $R \times V/\sim_W$  into  $V/\sim_W$ .

*Proof.* For any  $p \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  we know  $d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi(\alpha p)}, \nu_{\pi(\beta p)})$  is small whenever  $d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi((\alpha-\beta)p)}, \varepsilon_0)$  is small. But

$$d_S(\bar{\nu}_{\pi((\alpha-\beta)p)}, \varepsilon_0) \le d_S(\nu_{(\alpha-\beta)p}, \varepsilon_0)$$

and by Lemma 3 of [2],  $d_S(\nu_{(\alpha-\beta)p}, \varepsilon_0)$  is small whenever  $|\alpha - \beta|$  is small.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for giving useful comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper. The authors wish to thank C Sempi for his helpful suggestions. The first author was supported by grants from the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (BFM2005-06522) and from the Junta de Andalucía (CEC-JA FQM-197), Spain.

### References

- [1] Alsina C, Schweizer B and Sklar A, On the definition of a probabilistic normed space, *Aeguationes Math.* 46 (1993) 91–98
- [2] Alsina C, Schweizer B and Sklar A, Continuity properties of probabilistic norms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **208** (1997) 446–452
- [3] Lafuerza-Guillén B, Finite products of probabilistic normed spaces, Rad. Mat. 13 (2004) 111-117
- [4] Lafuerza-Guillén B, Rodríguez-Lallena J A and Sempi C, Completion of probabilistic normed spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **18** (1995) 649–652
- [5] Lafuerza-Guillén B, Rodríguez-Lallena J A and Sempi C, A study of boundedness in probabilistic normed spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 232 (1999) 183–196
- [6] Lafuerza-Guillén B, Rodríguez-Lallena J A and Sempi C, Probabilistic norms for linear operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220 (1998) 462–476
- [7] Saadati R and Amini M, D-boundedness and D-compactness in finite dimensional probabilistic normed spaces, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 115 (2005) 483-492
- [8] Schweizer B and Sklar A, Probabilistic metric spaces (New York: North-Holland) (1983)
- [9] Sibley D A, A metric for weak convergence of distribution functions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 1 (1971) 427–430