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1. Introduction

Microalgal biomass has been used over the centuries for a variety of commercial purposes - as an energy source, in food and feed, and in chemical industry management (Ruiz et al., 2016). Not only can it be used to produce biofertilizers, which are in high demand in intensive agriculture, but also for other crops such as cereals and fruit trees. This is because microalgal biomass contains plant growth promoters and biopesticides (which increase the productivity of plants and crops, even in small amounts), minimizing the use of chemicals that prevent disease, and reducing the amount of fertilizers required by the crops, thus indirectly enhancing agricultural sustainability.

However, microalgal cultivation requires several major nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon. These elements represent up to 7%, 1% and 50% of microalgal dry weight, respectively. Nitrogen and phosphorus are supplied as fertilizers whereas carbon is mostly supplemented by CO₂; around 1.83 kg CO₂ kg⁻¹ dry biomass⁻¹ being necessary. In addition, CO₂ availability depends on the air-sparger and sump depths, pH and alkalinity, etc. (Posadas et al., 2015), the fixation of which is less than 10% (Slade and Bauen, 2013) in raceway photobioreactors (PBRs). Moreover, the use of freshwater along with artificial fertilizers increases the biomass production cost above 5.0 € kg⁻¹.

Urban wastewaters contain elevated levels of nitrogen (mainly in the form of ammonium), phosphorus and carbon (both organic and inorganic carbon), which can replace mineral fertilizers in providing microalgal nutrition. However, the use of centrate might limit marine microalgal growth because urban wastewater is mainly freshwater. Nevertheless, various studies have shown that wastewater can be used for marine microalgal growth (Craggs et al., 1997; Jiang et al., 2011), avoiding freshwater wastage. In addition, using flue gases that contain 10–15% (v/v) CO₂ may provide the carbon necessary for microalgal cultivation. The carbon content of wastewaters allows us to estimate that approximately one ton of microalgal biomass produced during wastewater treatment reduces greenhouse-gas CO₂ by the equivalent of one ton, compared to conventional wastewater treatment processes; as well as reducing fertilizer use and producing other potential co-
products for agriculture (Van Harmelen and Oonk, 2006). In addition, using wastewater (which is rich in the main compounds necessary for microalgal growth, especially carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus) as the only source of nutrients can reduce biomass production costs by 17%. Furthermore, this microalgal production system consumes 24% less energy than conventional wastewater systems and it is possible to recover up to 90% of the nutrients (C, N and P) from wastewater effluents (Acién et al., 2014). When utilising sewage and flue gases in open raceway photobioreactors, the production cost is reduced by one order of magnitude (< 0.5 €·kg⁻¹) (Acién et al., 2012; Norsker et al., 2011). Therefore, the utilization of an integrated system (wastewater, seawater and flue gases) can be a real option for industrial microalgal production because the production cost is reduced to < 0.5 €·kg⁻¹ (Acién et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2011). Additionally, by using low-cost “ready-to-use” raceway PBRs, which are cheaper than closed PBRs (Chisti, 2008), the biomass production cost can be reduced even further; this is because they are easier to clean and require significantly less power consumption for moving and mixing the culture (Ugwu et al., 2008). It is worth noting that using wastewater and natural seawater not only reduces the microalgal production cost, the waste of fresh water and the cost of inorganic fertilizers, but also increases the sustainability and social acceptance of microalgal-based products. Furthermore, with the constant increase in food demand and the incessant damage to the environment caused by excessive chemical fertilizer use to improve crop yields, algae-based products are a key element in using natural products of biological origin to achieve sustainable agriculture. These bioproducts are totally environmentally friendly and non-toxic to plants, animals and final consumers. For this reason, they are considered promising products for day-to-day use in agriculture as there are no toxicity or ecotoxicity problems; they are also safe from the operational standpoint.

Our research studied the performance of the continuous production of marine microalgal biomass using centrate as the nutrient source in natural sea water. Experiments were carried out in summer, using four centrate percentages (20, 30, 40 and 50%) in sea water and two different dilutions rates (0.2 and 0.3 day⁻¹). System performance was evaluated in terms of dry weight biomass production and nutrient removal capacity. Additionally, an overview was performed of the main strains prevailing inside the cultures as well as the biochemical composition of the produced biomass under study. Our aim was to determine the system's performance and the main factors limiting its efficiency, as a prior step to the industrial development of low-cost marine microalgae biomass production in outdoor raceway PBRs for subsequent use in the commodities markets.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Microorganism and culture media

For this study, the marine microalgae *Nannochloropsis gaditana* Lubián CCMP 527 was initially selected due its high growth rate and high productivity under outdoor conditions (San Pedro et al., 2014). Inoculum was grown indoors in 51 glass bottles at a controlled temperature (25 °C) at 200 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ under continuous illumination and with aeration at 0.2 v·v⁻¹·min⁻¹ until the stationary phase. Algal medium (Bionova, Santiago de Compostela, Spain) in seawater was used to cultivate the inoculum. Subsequently, inoculum was transferred to 1001 outdoor bubble columns with algal medium at pH (8.0), controlled by the on-demand injection of flue gas. Once the stationary phase was reached, the cultures were finally transferred to the raceway photobioreactors, where a mix of natural seawater and centrate at different percentages was used as the culture medium.

The centrate used in this work was taken directly from the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) of Almería, Spain, operated by Aqualia; more specifically, it was taken after passing through the bed filter used to separate the solids from the liquid fraction of the digestate, after leaving the anaerobic digestion of the activated sludge produced from wastewater treatment. Therefore, this centrate was free of solid particles and rich in ammonium and other compounds. The centrate was brought in 2000 l lots. Table 1 shows the average composition of three different centrate lots. The culture medium was prepared daily by supplementing natural seawater with centrate according to the centrate percentage set for each experiment. Additionally, phosphorus was supplied until a nitrogen:phosphorus ratio of 5:1 was reached; this ratio was previously demonstrated as providing optimal culture performance, both indoors (Sepúlveda et al., 2015) and outdoors (Ledda et al., 2015). The seawater used for culture medium preparation was pumped directly from a seawater pump station. No sterilization treatments were performed prior to entering the reactors so as to minimize the production cost. Experiments were performed outdoors from May to September in a set of three raceway photobioreactors oriented north-south. Each PBR was made of 5 mm-thick polyethylene and consisted of two 5.00 m long

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composition of the culture medium used during the experiments, prepared by mixing centrate from a wastewater treatment plant with seawater at different percentages. Concentration expressed as mg·l⁻¹. In parenthesis, the final phosphorus concentration when adding phosphorus to achieve an N:P ratio of 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Centrate</th>
<th>Centrate</th>
<th>Centrate</th>
<th>Centrate</th>
<th>Centrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonates</td>
<td>1830 ± 742</td>
<td>8500 ± 371</td>
<td>9834 ± 296</td>
<td>11168 ± 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorides</td>
<td>528 ± 124</td>
<td>10457 ± 62</td>
<td>12420 ± 124</td>
<td>14402 ± 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>35.25 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.61 ± 0.4</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.34</td>
<td>11.63 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonates</td>
<td>70 ± 121</td>
<td>742 ± 60</td>
<td>877 ± 48</td>
<td>1011 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>252 ± 74</td>
<td>5815 ± 37</td>
<td>6927 ± 30</td>
<td>8040 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>732 ± 234</td>
<td>366 ± 117</td>
<td>293 ± 94</td>
<td>219 ± 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>133.0 ± 18</td>
<td>284 ± 9</td>
<td>315 ± 7</td>
<td>345 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>99.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>260.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>292 ± 2</td>
<td>325 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>88.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>728.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>856 ± 2</td>
<td>984 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphates</td>
<td>385.7 ± 7.3</td>
<td>1453.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>1735 ± 3</td>
<td>2018 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>14.1 ± 3.9 (146.1 ± 4.1)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.9 (73.2 ± 3.5)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.5 (58.6 ± 3.1)</td>
<td>4.36 ± 1.2 (48.2 ± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boron (B)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (Mn)</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>6.55 ± 6.55</td>
<td>3.28 ± 3.28</td>
<td>2.62 ± 2.60</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Ca)</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and 0.60 m wide channels connected by two 180° curves with a total surface area of 7.2 m². A stainless-steel paddlewheel (0.60 m diameter) was used for culture circulation at a rate of 0.2 m s⁻¹ driven by an electric motor with gear reduction (Ebara, Barcelona, Spain), the speed was regulated by a frequency inverter (Yaskawa AC Drive V1000, Yaskawa Electric GmbH, Germany). The culture depth in the reactors was set to 0.11 m since it was reported that both light availability and biomass productivity are enhanced at this depth (San Pedro et al., 2015). Air was constantly injected into the reactor through an air sparger to reduce dissolved oxygen accumulation; the sparger was situated inside a sump located at a 0.85 m depth upstream of the paddlewheel. The flue gas, containing an average of 10.9% CO₂, was produced on-demand by a diesel-oil boiler connected to a compressor used to store the flue-gas for further utilization. A passive stainless-steel serpentine, connected to the outlet of the boiler, was used for cooling the flue gas. To reduce the soot particulate content in the gas stream, the gas was filtered by three sequential cartridge filters (1 µm) before being injected into the cultures. The air flow rate entering each PBR was 0.3 v·v⁻¹·min⁻¹ (FR4L72BVBN flow meters, Key Instruments, USA) whereas the flue gas was injected when required at a constant flow rate of 0.05 v·v⁻¹·min⁻¹ (FR4A41BVBN flow meters, Key Instruments, USA). Dissolved oxygen, pH and temperature were measured with DO and pH probes (S342 pH electrode and 5120 OD electrode, Crison Instruments S.A., Spain) connected to a MM44 control-transmitter unit (Crison Instruments, Spain). The solar radiation received by the facility was measured with a thermoelectric pyranometer connected to an AC-420 adapter (LP-02, Geonica S.A., Spain). The data were logged on a PC control unit. The data logging system and the control software (DaqFactory 5.85, Azotech Inc., USA), which allowed the monitoring and control of the culture parameters, was designed and built by our research group.

This work was carried out during the summer. The experiments were performed in semi-continuous mode, by adding fresh medium daily to the reactors during the 4 h in the middle of the solar cycle; at the same time harvesting an equal volume of culture. The raceway PBRs were operated at two different dilution rates (D), 0.20 day⁻¹ and 0.3 day⁻¹ (D represented the culture medium flow rate over the culture volume in the bioreactor; so, depending of the dilution rate used, every day 20% or 30% of the culture medium was harvested while adding the same amount of fresh culture medium). The culture medium was prepared directly by the daily mixing of centrate at four different centrate percentages (20%, 30%, 40% and 50%) with seawater pumped directly from the seawater pump station (i.e. the centrate per litre of seawater, given as a fraction based on 100 equal parts). The centrate percentage influences the amount of nutrients provided daily to the reactors whereas the set dilution rate influences microalgal biomass harvesting; thus, the final biomass concentration inside the culture was at steady state. The experiments were performed in the three reactors simultaneously, and in triplicate (the average values from the three reactors were recorded). Other native strains were found in the culture, mainly because the culture medium was not sterilized.

2.3. Biomass concentration and quantum yield determination

The biomass concentration was determined daily by measuring absorbance at 750 nm with a spectrophotometer (DR/4000 UV/Vis Spectrophotometer, HACH, USA). The spectrophotometric measurements were verified by dry weight determinations twice a week. The dry weight biomass concentration \( C_b \) was measured by centrifuging 100 ml of culture for 15 min at 4500 RPM; the sediment was taken and freeze dried in a lyophilizer (LYOQUEST-55 Telstar Technologies, S.L Spain) for 24 h and subsequently weighed. To determine the extinction coefficient of the biomass \( K_a \), the average absorbance at wavelengths from 400 to 700 nm was divided by the biomass concentration \( C_b \) and the cuvette light path \( P_{cuvette} \) (Equation (1)).

\[
K_a = \frac{Abs}{C_b \cdot P_{cuvette}} \quad (1)
\]

Volumetric productivity was calculated according to equation (2), multiplying the biomass concentration \( C_b \) by the dilution rate \( D \).

\[
P_{bvol} = C_b \cdot D \quad (2)
\]

The land areal productivity was calculated according to a previous work (San Pedro et al., 2015), the volume-surface ratio was calculated taking into account the working volume of the reactor and the land surface occupied by it, which included the distance between reactors; the volumetric biomass productivity was divided by the land area, which likewise included the distance between reactors, according to equation (3).

\[
P_{barea} = \frac{P_{bvol}}{\text{Area}} \quad (3)
\]

2.4. Light availability and solar efficiency

The average irradiance (in the photosynthetically active radiation range, PAR) at which cells are exposed inside a culture \( I_{av} \) is a function of irradiance in the absence of cells \( I_0 \), the biomass extinction coefficient \( k_b \), the biomass concentration \( C_b \) and the light path inside the reactor \( P \). It can be approximated using equation (4) (Molina Grima et al., 1996).

\[
I_{av} = \frac{I_0}{(k_b \cdot P \cdot C_b)} (1 - \exp(-k_b \cdot P \cdot C_b)) \quad (4)
\]

Quantum yield \( \Psi_e \) is defined in microalgal cultures as the amount of biomass generated by the unit of radiation (usually a mole of photons) absorbed by the culture. Since this represents the ratio of biomass generation to absorbed photon flux, it can be calculated by equation (5) (Molina Grima et al., 1996), where \( P_{bvol} \) stands for the volumetric biomass productivity and \( F_{mol} \) for the photon flux absorbed in the volume unit. The photon flux absorbed through the reactor volume may be obtained from the \( I_{av} \) on a culture volume basis using equation (6) (Molina Grima et al., 1996):

\[
\Psi_e = \frac{P_{bvol}}{F_{mol}} \quad (5)
\]

\[
F_{mol} = I_{av} \cdot k_b \cdot C_b \quad (6)
\]

Photosynthetic efficiency (the maximum efficiency at which photosynthesis can convert solar energy into biomass) was calculated according to equation (7) (Molina Grima et al., 1996), where \( Q_b \) (biomass combustion heat) and \( F_{mol} \) are given in energy units.

\[
\text{Photosynthetic efficiency} = \frac{P_{bvol} \cdot Q_b}{F_{mol}} \quad (7)
\]

2.5. Nutrient removal capacity and stripping

Nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon dephosphation efficiencies \( R_e \) and removal capacities \( R_e \) were calculated according to equations (8) and (9):

\[
R_e = \frac{C_e - C}{C_0} \cdot 100 \quad (8)
\]

\[
R_e = (C_0 - C) \cdot D \quad (9)
\]

where \( C_0 \) is the element concentration at the culture inlet, \( C \) is the element concentration at the culture outlet and \( D \) is the dilution rate at which the experiment was set.

Carbon and phosphorus losses were calculated according to equation (10):

\[
\text{Carbon losses} = R_e \cdot C_0 \quad (10)
\]

\[
\text{Phosphorus losses} = R_e \cdot C_0 \quad (11)
\]
The assays were carried out in a set of three raceway photobioreactors by modifying the centrate percentage in the culture medium and the set dilution rate. The optimal conditions for the semi-continuous outdoor production of marine microalgae in raceway photobioreactors were determined using a mix of centrate and natural seawater at two dilution rates (0.2 day\(^{-1}\) and 0.3 day\(^{-1}\)) and four different centrate percentages (20%, 30%, 40% and 50%) as the sole nutrient source. The culture medium was prepared daily by mixing centrate and centrate percentages (20%, 30%, 40% and 50%) as the sole nutrient source. If one considers that the microalgae biomass composition is 50% C, 7% N, and 1% P, then to produce 1.0 g of microalgae biomass, 2500 mg of HCO\(_3\)-, 90 mg of NH\(_4\)+ (or 310 mg of NO\(_3\)-) and 10 mg of P are required. The centrate’s nutrient content surpasses the ammonium demanded for the efficient uptake of phosphorus and bicarbonates. Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that using this culture medium with an imbalance of these two compounds causes deficient microalgae growth, both for indoor (Sepúlveda et al., 2015) and outdoor cultures (Ledda et al., 2015).

The elemental composition, after phosphorus was added, showed a C/N/P average of 100/128/19 in the culture medium and 100/21/2 for the biomass, which denotes a carbon deficit in the culture medium, or an excess of nitrogen and phosphorus. This is important as it has been observed that a low phosphorus content in the centrate (before adding) limits microalgae growth (Sepúlveda et al., 2015). Conversely, a considerable increase in cell concentration was observed when a balanced N:P ratio was set (5:1) (Ledda et al., 2015; Sepúlveda et al., 2015); the biomass concentration being equivalent to those in cultures where commercial Algal medium was used (San Pedro et al., 2015). Despite a high carbon content being determined, additional carbon was supplied by on-demand CO\(_2\) injection. Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the process in this study.

3.2. Biomass productivity

Regarding biomass productivity (Fig. 2), one can observe that a stable steady state was achieved when the centrate percentage was equal to or below 40% while, above this value, the cultures were washed-out. The results show that, when using centrate and natural seawater, the biomass concentration (Fig. 2A) changed according to the different conditions set, decreasing as the percentage of centrate increased in the culture medium. This demonstrates that a centrate increase in the culture medium is harmful for cell growth, which might be because of the high ammonium concentration, reaching values of 146 mg l\(^{-1}\) when there was 20% centrate in the culture medium. It was observed that maximal biomass concentration (0.98 g\(_{\text{biomass}}\) l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) and 32.42 g\(_{\text{biomass}}\) m\(^{-3}\) day\(^{-1}\)) were achieved with 20% centrate and a dilution rate of 0.2 day\(^{-1}\) and 0.3 day\(^{-1}\), respectively. Nevertheless, when a centrate percentage above 40% was used, the culture became stressed and was consequently washed out. The maximal values obtained here are based on data obtained by Sepúlveda et al. (2015), where the maximal biomass productivity values were 0.27 g\(_{\text{biomass}}\) l\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) under indoor conditions, using Nannochloropsis g.
with 20–40% centrate. For outdoor conditions, maximal values of 0.09 g biomass\(\text{L}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}\) with a commercial algal medium (San Pedro et al., 2015) and 0.07 g biomass\(\text{L}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}\) with a similar mix of centrate and seawater (Ledda et al., 2015) were observed. The data obtained in analogous studies in tubular (Romero Villegas et al., 2017) and flat-panels (Romero Villegas et al., 2018) PBRs, using the same culture medium under the same conditions, demonstrated that raceway PBRs had the lowest biomass productivity efficiency, not dissimilar to data obtained from flat-panel PBRs (1.16 g biomass\(\text{L}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}\)) whereas values obtained with tubular PBRs doubled the biomass concentration (2.02 g biomass\(\text{L}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}\)). Nevertheless, the maximum land areal biomass productivity achieved in tubular PBRs was only 15.6 g biomass\(\text{m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}\) (Romero Villegas et al., 2017), evidencing that raceways PBRs are suitable for microalgal biomass production.

### 3.3. Microalgae population strains

The use of alternative nutrient sources such as wastewater (in this case centrate), increases both the sustainability and the profitability of the process because it contains an excess of required nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon). Nevertheless, the process involves a series of “issues” owing to its contaminating effect, leading some authors to suggest that the centrate should be sterilized before use (Ledda et al., 2016); this is because it contains an extra load of other microorganisms derived from the non-sterilization of the culture medium.

One of the aims of this current research is to demonstrate that the use of centrate can reduce the cost of the microalgal biomass obtained; i.e. that any option for “improving” the nutrient source translates into an increased production cost. Yet the growth of contaminant species is an important issue. The microalgal population analysis (Fig. 3) and taxonomic studies demonstrated that there were four strains in the culture, *Pseudoanabaena sp.*, *Nannochloropsis g.*, *Halamphora sp.* and *Geitlerinema sp.*, which varied in population size depending on the operational conditions set in each experiment. With regard to the relative population, it was observed that this could be modified by the centrate percentage in the culture medium (Fig. 3A). *Geitlerinema sp.* was the predominant strain when the centrate percentage was low, while *Halamphora sp.*’s relative population was greater when centrate increased to 40%. On the other hand, the predominant species in terms of dilution rate changes (Fig. 3B) was *Nannochloropsis g.* for both dilution rates. Results obtained in analogous works demonstrated that microalgal population changes depend on the characteristics of the particular PBR. While tubular PBRs showed 5 different species (Romero Villegas et al., 2017), flat-panels PBRs exhibited only two strains (*Nannochloropsis g.* and *Geitlerinema sp.*) (Romero Villegas et al., 2018), whereas previous studies showed that monocultures of *Nannochloropsis g.* (Ledda et al., 2015) and *Scenedesmus sp.* (Morales-Amaral et al., 2015) could be obtained using wastewater in raceway PBRs. In contrast, some works state that the production of native microalga consortia from wastewater is feasible as this facilitates the use of centrate as the culture medium’s nutrient source and increases the culture’s ability to produce biomass - it has been shown that each microalga strain plays a different role in tackling real wastewater treatment issues; e.g. *Chlorella sp.* is used for the removal of lead (II) ions, nitrogen and phosphate, and cyanide (Aksu and Kutsal, 1991), *Scenedesmus sp.* is used to remove cadmium and copper (Terry and Stone, 2002), while *Spirulina sp.* (Bagus Pradana et al., 2014) and *Dunaliella salina* (Imani et al., 2011) are used for the bio-absorption of heavy metals, etc. Thus, microalgal consortia can be designed that permit the recovery of specific nutrients based on the particular characteristics of each wastewater type.

#### 3.4. Biochemical composition

The biochemical quality of the obtained biomass is the most relevant and important issue as it is necessary to determine if the product can be used or not, as well as determining whether it is for human or non-human use (Ruiz et al., 2016). There have been several studies regarding the use of microalgal biomass for biofuel production although microalgal biomass must be considered for agricultural use as well as for added-value bio-stimulant/biopesticide products and low-value biofertilizer products - the estimated global market for bio-stimulants in 2012 was $3.4 billion, $2.4 billion for biopesticides and $440M for biofertilizers (Transparency Market Research, 2014). Furthermore, the market price for bio-stimulants/biopesticides and biofertilizers ranges from 9 to 24 €\(\text{L}^{-1}\) and from 1 to 10 €\(\text{L}^{-1}\), respectively (Soley Biotechnology Institute, 2014). For this reason, biochemical analyses of the obtained biomass were performed (Fig. 4). The data show that the predominant biomass contents were carbohydrates (21%–52%) (Fig. 4A) and proteins (up to 52%) (Fig. 4B). The lipid content was very stable with an average value of 23% (all values were below 29%) (Fig. 4C). This is comparable to biochemical compositions for different microalgal species cultivated in inorganic culture medium (Table 2).

One of the most recent publications on the estimated values of the biomass contents were carbohydrates (21%–52%) (Fig. 4A) and proteins (up to 52%) (Fig. 4B). The lipid content was very stable with an average value of 23% (all values were below 29%) (Fig. 4C). This is comparable to biochemical compositions for different microalgal species cultivated in inorganic culture medium (Table 2).
different sub-products in the microalgal biomass market (Ruiz et al., 2016) shows that the price for carbohydrates, proteins and lipids are around 0.3 €·kg$^{-1}$, 1.0 €·kg$^{-1}$ and 0.6 €·kg$^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, it can be estimated that the microalgal biomass obtained in this study could reach a maximum value of 0.74 €·kg$^{-1}$ using 30% centrate and a dilution rate of 0.2 day$^{-1}$. This is higher than the biomass prices obtained for tubular PBRs in analogous works (0.67 €·kg$^{-1}$) (Romero Villegas et al., 2017). Moreover, the biomass analysis leads us to conclude that the most suitable markets for this product are those for animal feed or aquaculture. Nonetheless, more analyses must be carried out before accurately ascertaining the reliability of any final application.

### 3.5. Light-use efficiency

To assess the performance of the light-use conditions set in the cultures, we evaluated the average irradiance within the culture, the quantum yield and the photosynthetic efficiency (Fig. 5). Based on the average irradiance (the average irradiance the cells were exposed to within the cultures as a function of the culture medium and the photobioreactor used), Fig. 5A shows values from 20 to 88 μE·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$ using 20%–40% centrate. However, when 50% centrate was used, the culture became washed out, evidencing the damage caused by the higher ammonium concentration. The data confirm that culture efficiency reduced relative to each centrate percentage increase in the culture medium, as more light was needed to maintain the growth rate. Regarding the quantum yield (Fig. 5B), this variable also allows us to evaluate the differences in efficiency between treatments, whereas the photosynthetic efficiency (Fig. 5C) lets us determine the energy performance fixed by the action of photosynthesis as a function of the light received on the PBR surface - under outdoor conditions, the maximal hypothetical PAR radiation was 5–6%. We were therefore able to determine both the quantum yield and the photosynthetic efficiency with the data showing that both presented a decreasing trend when centrate increased in the culture medium – the maximal quantum yield value was 0.74 $\text{Sh}_{\text{max}}$E$^{-1}$ and the photosynthetic efficiency was 3.66% when the dilution rate was set at 0.3 day$^{-1}$. Nevertheless, the values obtained at a 0.2 day$^{-1}$ dilution rate were more stable, showing an average quantum yield value of 0.44 $\text{Sh}_{\text{max}}$E$^{-1}$ and photosynthetic efficiency of 2.19%; however, both dropped to zero when the centrate percentage rose to 50%. Previous studies carried out in the same facilities showed that *Nannochloropsis g.* monocultures could achieve maximum values up to 0.27 $\text{Sh}_{\text{max}}$E$^{-1}$ in algal medium (San Pedro et al., 2015) whereas the use of 20% centrate in seawater (as the culture

![Fig. 3. Variation in the relative population of microalgal strains in the cultures with A) the centrate percentage in the culture medium and B) the imposed dilution rate in the outdoor raceway photobioreactors. Mean ± S.D. values are shown for the independent experiments performed in triplicate (P < 0.05).](image-url)
medium) achieved 0.24 $g_{\text{biomass}} \cdot E^{-1}$ (Ledda et al., 2015). Moreover, efficiencies of up to 5% have been reported for Scenedesmus sp. in raceway PBRs (Morales-Amaral et al., 2015) and 3.6% for tubular PBRs (Acién et al., 2012). Nevertheless, data obtained in analogous works for tubular (0.54 $g_{\text{biomass}} \cdot E^{-1}$) PBRs showed lower light-use efficiency with values of 2.67% and 2.79% (Romero Villegas et al., 2017), demonstrating that raceway PBRs made the most of the light received.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microalgae species</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Carbohydrates</th>
<th>Lipids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botryococcus braunii</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella sp.</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. vulgaris</td>
<td>51-58</td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>14-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunaliella Salina</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. biculata</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenedesmus sp.</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. dimorphus</td>
<td>8-18</td>
<td>21-52</td>
<td>16-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. obliquus</td>
<td>50-56</td>
<td>10-17</td>
<td>12-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirulina maxima</td>
<td>60-71</td>
<td>13-16</td>
<td>6-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. platensis</td>
<td>42-63</td>
<td>8-14</td>
<td>4-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetraselmis maculata</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.6. Nutrient removal

The production of microalgal biomass using centrate as the culture medium not only allows us to obtain biomass, but also removes compounds that can contaminate our aquatic bodies, making the most of the carbon (Fig. 6), nitrogen (Fig. 7A and B) and phosphorus (Fig. 7C and D), which are essential components in microalgal biomass composition, as well as being critical for cell division and intracellular metabolite cycling. The additional advantage of this method is that depuration process costs are reduced because available domestic municipal wastewater can be used as the culture medium for microalgal cultivation while benefitting from bioremediation. For this reason, the
carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus levels were measured. Another aspect of microalgae cultivation is that cultures need CO₂ to satisfying their carbon demand. Nevertheless, this demand can be covered by the centrate, which contains elevated levels of carbon (Table 1) while at the same time, reduce the carbon footprint of the wastewater plants. Regarding carbon analysis, the data show that total carbon (TC) at the inlet (Fig. 6A) ranged from 95.35 mgTC·l⁻¹ to 240.81 mgTC·l⁻¹, of which total inorganic carbon (TIC) (Fig. 6B) represented more than 90%, while values of total organic carbon (TOC) (Fig. 6C) ranged from 7.4 mgTOC·l⁻¹ to 18 mgTOC·l⁻¹ - all of them increasing as the centrate level increased in the culture medium. Similar behaviour was observed at the outlet, where the TC remaining in the culture medium increased as the centrate percentage increased (40 mgTC·l⁻¹ – 119 mgTC·l⁻¹), with a maximal removal capacity (Fig. 6D) of up to 20.67 mgTC·l⁻¹·day⁻¹ when 40% centrate and a dilution rate of 0.3 day⁻¹ were used. Nevertheless, maximal depuration efficiency (Fig. 6D) was observed using 20% centrate and a dilution rate of 0.3 day⁻¹ (58.5%, 16.9 mgTC·l⁻¹·day⁻¹). Moreover, despite outlet values showing an important reduction in TC values, it has been shown that a high concentration of carbonates in the culture medium reduces the need for pure CO₂ and lowers the biomass production cost from 2.1 $USD·kgdry-biomass⁻¹ to 1.0 $USD·kgdry-biomass⁻¹ (Hanifzadeh et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the data
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**Fig. 6.** Influence of the centrate percentage in the culture medium and the imposed dilution rate on the total carbon (organic and inorganic) concentration at the inlet and outlet of the outdoor raceway photobioreactors. A) Total carbon, B) Total inorganic carbon, C) Total organic carbon and D) Total carbon removal efficiency/capacity. Mean + S.D. values are shown for the independent experiments performed in triplicate (P < 0.05).

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 7.** Influence of the centrate percentage in the culture medium and the imposed dilution rate on the nitrogen inlet and outlet concentrations, and the phosphorus inlet and outlet concentrations in the outdoor raceway photobioreactors. A) Total nitrogen, B) Nitrogen removal efficiency/capacity, C) Total phosphorus and D) Phosphorus removal efficiency/capacity. Mean + S.D. values are shown for the independent experiments performed in triplicate (P < 0.05).
showed that the culture needed more carbon than was supplied by the culture medium. Thus, the CO₂ used for pH control also played a role as a carbon source along with the TIC suspended in the medium. Unlike at the inlet, the TIC percentage at the outlet decreased by 38% with respect to the TOC values, except when 50% centrate was used (213 mgTIC·l⁻¹); giving similar values to those at the inlet and evidencing that this microalga bloom preferred TIC to TOC for feeding. In addition, the TOC rose significantly to 35.7 mgTOC·l⁻¹, suggesting that the microalgae produced then excreted organic compounds, which consequently accumulated in the culture medium; indeed, it has been demonstrated that cyanobacteria produce exopolysaccharides that remain in culture medium (Richert et al., 2005). However, the values obtained in this study show that the TC results do not comply with the European Commission Directive 98/15/EEC requirements for the disposal of treated wastewater in sensitive areas (Directive, 1998) since a linear relationship exists between TOC and COD (COD = 7.25 + 2.99*TOC (Dubber and Gray, 2010)). Therefore, for a total organic carbon concentration of 37.5 mgTOC·l⁻¹, the estimated COD value is 114 mgCOD·l⁻¹. Better results were achieved in tubular PBRs, where a minimal value of 61.4 mgCOD·l⁻¹ was achieved (Romero Villegas et al., 2017), suggesting that PBR design plays an important role not only in carbon depuration, but also in nitrogen and phosphorus depuration.

Regarding the nitrogen content, analyses were carried out both entering the culture medium and the culture broth exiting the raceway PBR (Fig. 7A). The results ranged from 113.02 mgN·l⁻¹ to 288 mgN·l⁻¹ at the inlet when 20%–50% centrate was used. It is important to mention that most of the nitrogen was in the form of ammonium, whereas nitrate values were always below 1.0 mgNO₃·l⁻¹. Consequently, these assays were performed with greater nitrogen amounts than those carried out with commercial alga medium (using nitrate as the sole nutrient source, which permits the removal of compounds such as nitrogen and phosphorus, has grabbed the world’s attention because of the potential reduction in freshwater wastage and avoidance of the higher costs involved when using fertilizers. A previous work demonstrated the capacity of a freshwater microalgal bloom, comprising seven microalgae strains, to depurate up to 80% of the total nitrogen and total phosphorus from urban wastewaters (Mennai et al., 2015). Similarly, a freshwater microalgal monoculture (Scenedesmus sp.) was able to depurate up to 55% of the nitrogen and 10% of the phosphorus, with inlet values of 240 mgN·l⁻¹ and 42 mgP·l⁻¹ using centrate as the culture medium in raceway PBRs (Morales-Amaral et al., 2015). Moreover, studies carried out under indoor/outdoor conditions on Nannochloropsis g, using centrate as the culture medium, showed it was possible to depurate up to 80/64% of the nitrogen, and 86/94% of the phosphorus, respectively (Ledda et al., 2015; Sepúlveda et al., 2015). However, in this work, neither the nitrogen results nor the phosphorus results complied with European Commission Directive 98/15/EEC requirements for the disposal of treated wastewater in sensitive areas (Directive, 1998), which imposes maximums of 10 mgN·l⁻¹ and 2 mgP·l⁻¹.

For the large-scale use of centrate as the culture medium along with flue gases, it has been shown that production cost can be reduced from 2.3 €·kg⁻¹ to 1.8 €·kg⁻¹ using raceway PBRs (Morales-Amaral et al., 2015) whereas for tubular and flat-panel PBRs, it can be reduced to 2.1 €·kg⁻¹ (Ación et al., 2012) and 4 €·kg⁻¹ (Wijffels et al., 2010), respectively. Native microalgae have proved capable of depurating up to 99% of the carbon compounds found in urban wastewater using raceway PBRs (Matamoros et al., 2015). In addition, it has been proven that a carbon source maximizes microalgal growth (Liu et al., 2009) unlike CO₂; this can explain the difference between the biomass concentration obtained with commercial algal medium, where a maximal biomass concentration of 0.41 gbiomass·l⁻¹ was obtained (San Pedro et al., 2015).

3.7. Nutrient mass balance

As well as demonstrating that compounds such as carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus can be depurated in this type of low-cost technology, it is also important to understand the microalgal phenomena occurring in the wastewater depuration system. This is because there are certain phenomena, such as stripping and sedimentation, which participate in the depuration process alongside biomass fixation. Mass balance (Fig. 8) can be used to evaluate the phenomena occurring during wastewater depuration. Regarding the carbon mass balance (Fig. 8A), it was shown that, in all the cases, the carbon content in the culture medium was insufficient to satisfy the microalga bloom’s carbon demand; for this reason, it was supplemented with up to 53% carbon using CO₂ from flue gas (data not shown). It was also evidenced that more than 60% of total carbon was fixed into biomass, under whichever conditions set, apart from at 50% centrate. Nevertheless, the most effective treatment for removing carbon used 20% centrate, which utilised 80% of the total carbon. However, there is an opposite effect, carbon “loss” - this diminished as centrate increased in the culture medium, ranging from 7.6 to 5%, even when 50% centrate was used.
ammonium/ammonia in the culture medium led to an increase in the driving force for nitrogen stripping. Previous studies demonstrated that a combination of pH > 9.5, a temperature of 70 °C and a continuous airflow of 1500 l min⁻¹ can depurate up to 92% of total ammonium, with initial values of up to 2200 mg N-NH₃ l⁻¹ (Guštin and Marinišek-Logar, 2011); whereas Liao et al. (1995) showed the best results when the temperature, pH and air flow were set at 22 °C, 9.5 and 40 l min⁻¹, respectively, showing that stripping is an efficient technique for depurating wastewaters. Taking all this into account, our results confirm that a mix of these factors along with good microalgae bloom performance can provide acceptable nitrogen depuration. Similar behaviour was observed in tubular PBRs, where nitrogen fixation was the main phenomenon occurring in the culture, representing up to 74% of nitrogen depuration (Romero Villegas et al., 2017).

With regard to the phosphorus mass balance (Fig. 8C), the data show a similar trend to that obtained for nitrogen, in which the fixed phosphorus concentration diminished as centrate increased in the culture medium. The data presented a decreasing trend from 67% to 21% as the centrate percentage rose from 20% to 40%; however, the values fell to zero for 50% centrate. Additionally, it was evidenced that the phosphorus remaining in the culture medium was very stable at an average of 24%. Nevertheless, as with nitrogen, the data indicate that phosphorus was being lost, presenting values from 9% to 86% as centrate increased. Similar results were observed in tubular PBRs, where phosphorus losses rose with increased centrate in the culture medium, reaching values of 76% (Romero Villegas et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the most similar results were those obtained in tubular PBRs, suggesting that horizontal surfaces, such as those found in tubular and raceway PBRs, allow phosphate precipitation; while flat-panel PBRs generate a constant and circular flow current that is always mixing the culture, thus inhibiting salt precipitation. It has been demonstrated that phosphate precipitates as a function of alkaline pH and temperatures above 20 °C (Burns et al., 2003).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the importance of nutrient recycling is demonstrated as well as using microalgal consortia for wastewater depuration and biomass production. In addition, it was shown that centrate can be used as the sole nutrient source for marine microalgae in outdoor raceway PBRs. Moreover, the relative population in the PBRs can be changed by modifying the centrate percentage in the culture medium; whereas it barely changes at all when modifying the dilution rate. Furthermore, the biochemical composition analysis allowed us to assess the biomass obtained for its final application, which could be as a feed product. Despite the final nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon values not complying to those limits required by law, the culture medium could be recirculated to achieve the low nutrient content necessary for its final disposal. The set of works considered in this study have helped to identify the main phenomena occurring when centrate is used as a nutrient source to produce marine microalgae strains, making centrate utilization a promising strategy for producing sustainable and profitable microalgae biomass for low-cost applications.
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