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#### Abstract

Motivated by new progress in the theory of ideals of Bloch maps, we introduce $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps with $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$ from the complex unit open disc $\mathbb{D}$ into a complex Banach space $X$. We prove a Pietsch domination/factorization theorem for such Bloch maps that provides a reformulation of some results on both absolutely continuous (multilinear) operators and Lipschitz operators. We also identify the spaces of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch zeropreserving maps from $\mathbb{D}$ into $X^{*}$ under a suitable norm $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}$ with the duals of the spaces of $X$-valued Bloch molecules on $\mathbb{D}$ equipped with the Bloch version of the ( $p^{*}, \sigma$ )-Chevet-Saphar tensor norms.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

For any Banach spaces $X$ and $Y, \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the Banach space of all continuous linear operators from $X$ into $Y$, under the operator norm. In particular, $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ is denoted by $X^{*}$. As usual, $B_{X}$ stands for the closed unit ball of $X$.

Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is called $p$-summing with $p \in[1, \infty)$ if there exists $C \geq 0$ so that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup _{x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$. The infimum of such constants $C$ is denoted by $\pi_{p}(T)$, and the Banach space of all $p$-summing operators from $X$ into $Y$, under the norm $\pi_{p}$, by $\Pi_{p}(X, Y)$.

In the eighties, Matter considered the ideal of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous linear operators for any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1$ ), with the aim of analysing super-reflexive Banach spaces, providing its main properties in the papers [13, 14].

Let us recall that a linear map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous for $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$ if there exist a Banach space $Z$ and a $p$-summing operator $S \in \Pi_{p}(X, Z)$ for which

$$
\|T(x)\| \leq\|x\|^{\sigma}\|S(x)\|^{1-\sigma} \quad(x \in X)
$$

We set $\pi_{p, \sigma}(T)=\inf \left\{\pi_{p}(S)^{1-\sigma}\right\}$, where the infimum is taken over all Banach spaces $Z$ and $S \in \Pi_{p}(X, Z)$ such that the above inequality holds. Let $\Pi_{p, \sigma}(X, Y)$ be the Banach space of all $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators from $X$ into $Y$, under the norm $\pi_{p, \sigma}$.

In the nineties, López Molina and Sánchez Pérez investigated on the factorization properties and the tensor norms related to these operator ideals in the papers [11, $12,19]$. Roughly speaking, the ideal of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators can be considered as an interpolating ideal between the $p$-summing operators and the continuous operators since

$$
\Pi_{p}(X, Y) \subseteq \Pi_{p, \sigma}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)
$$

with

$$
\|T\| \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}(T) \leq \pi_{p}(T) \quad\left(T \in \Pi_{p}(X, Y)\right)
$$

We refer the reader to the book [9] for a complete study on $p$-summing operators.
In the second decade of the twentieth century, Achour, Dahia, Rueda and Sánchez Pérez dealt with the factorization of both absolutely continuous polynomials and strongly $(p, \sigma)$-continuous multilinear operators in [1, 2]. Besides, Achour, Rueda and Yahi [3] extended these studies for Lipschitz maps from a metric space into a Banach space.

Our main purpose in this paper is to introduce and establish the most notable properties of a notion of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch map on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, in terms of the concept of $p$-summing Bloch map. From now on, unless otherwise stated, $X$ will denote a complex Banach space.

If $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ represents the space of all holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{D}$ into $X$, a map $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ is called Bloch if

$$
\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f):=\sup \left\{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}<\infty .
$$

The linear space of all Bloch maps from $\mathbb{D}$ into $X$, under the Bloch seminorm $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}, X)$. The normalized Bloch space $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ is the closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ formed by all those maps $f$ for which $f(0)=0$, under the Bloch norm $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$. For simplicity, we write $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$ instead of $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$. Numerous authors have studied these function spaces (see, for example, the monographs [4] for the complex-valued case, and [20] for the vector-valued case).

In a recent paper [6], the $p$-summability of operators was adapted to address the property of $p$-summability in the setting of Bloch maps, as follows.

For any $p \in[1, \infty)$, we say that a map $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ is $p$-summing Bloch if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that for any $n$ in $\mathbb{N}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, one has

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{p}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup _{g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{p}\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

The infimum of the constants $C$ for which this inequality holds, denoted by $\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}$, defines a seminorm on the linear space $\Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ of all $p$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps from $\mathbb{D}$ into $X$. Furthermore, this seminorm becomes a norm on the subspace $\Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ consisting of all those maps $f \in \Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ so that $f(0)=0$. A complete study on these spaces can be consulted in [6].

Now, we introduce the Bloch analogue of the notion of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operator.

Definition 1.1 For any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$, we say that a map $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ is ( $p, \sigma$ )-absolutely continuous Bloch if there exist a complex Banach space $Y$ and a map $g \in \Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

In such case, we put

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)=\inf \left\{\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)^{1-\sigma}\right\}
$$

taking the infimum over all complex Banach spaces $Y$ and all $g \in \Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ such that the above inequality holds. $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ stands for the linear space of all $(p, \sigma)$ -
absolutely continuous Bloch maps $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$. The linear subspace of $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ consisting of all those maps $f$ for which $f(0)=0$ is denoted by $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$.

We divide the contents of this paper into some sections. We start by showing that $\left(\Pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ can be identified with $\left(\Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$. For this reason, the results that we establish in this paper extend some obtained in [6]. In a clear parallel with the linear setting, the class $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}$ can be considered as an interpolating class between the classes $\Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

In Sects. 2 and 5, we prove that $\left[\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}, \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right]$ is an injective Banach normalized Bloch ideal. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to both versions of Pietsch domination theorem and Pietsch factorization theorem for $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps on $\mathbb{D}$. We also address the invariance of the space $\left(\Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ by Möbius transformations of $\mathbb{D}$. In Sect. 6, we introduce and analyse the so-called ( $p, \sigma$ )-ChevetSaphar Bloch norms $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}$ on the tensor product space $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes X$, where $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})$ is the Bloch-free Banach space. If $p^{*}=\infty$ for $p=1$, and $p^{*}=p /(p-1)$ for $1<p<\infty$, we show that $\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ can be canonically identified with the dual of the completion of the space $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}){\underset{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}}{ }} X$.

## 2 Banach structure

We begin with an easy result on interpolation which can be compared to [13, Proposition 3.3]. We will need the following class of Bloch functions. For each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the map $f_{z}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
f_{z}(w)=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) w}{1-\bar{z} w} \quad(w \in \mathbb{D})
$$

is in $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{z}\right)=1=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f_{z}^{\prime}(z)$ (see [10, Proposition 2.2]). Clearly, $f_{z} \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$ with $\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{z}\right) \leq 1$ for any $p \in[1, \infty)$.

Given two semi-normed spaces $\left(X, \rho_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \rho_{Y}\right)$, we will write $\left(X, \rho_{X}\right) \leq$ $\left(Y, \rho_{Y}\right)$ to indicate that $X \subseteq Y$ and $\rho_{Y}(x) \leq \rho_{X}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 2.1 If $p, q \in[1, \infty)$ with $p<q$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) & =\left(\Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) \leq\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\Pi_{q, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{q, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) \leq\left(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}, X), \rho_{\mathcal{B}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof If $f \in \Pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, there is a map $g \in \Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ for some complex Banach space $Y$ such that $\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we get

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{p}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{p}\left\|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

$$
\leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g) \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{p}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

hence $f \in \Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)$, and passing to the infimum over all such complex Banach spaces $Y$ and all such maps $g$, one has $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.

The inequality $\left(\Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) \leq\left(\Pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, 0}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is a particular case of the following. If $f \in \Pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sup _{g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \\
& =\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|f_{z}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

as for the second inequality we use the supremum is taken over $g^{\prime} s$ in $B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}$ and that $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g) \geq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|$. Hence $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} f_{z}\right)^{1-\sigma}=\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{z}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)
$$

If $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, then $f \in \Pi_{q, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{q, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ follows readily by applying [6, Proposition 1.1].

If $f \in \Pi_{q, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, we can take a complex Banach space $Y$ and a map $g \in$ $\Pi_{q}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

hence $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)^{1-\sigma}$, and taking infimum over all such complex Banach spaces $Y$ and such maps $g$, we conclude that $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{q, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.

The case $\sigma=0$ in the next result follows from Proposition 2.1 and [6, Proposition 1.2]. In fact, we can adapt the proof of [6, Proposition 1.2] to yield a more general result.

Proposition $2.2\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is a Banach space for any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$.

Proof Assume that $\sigma \in(0,1)$. If $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ and $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)=0$, then $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f)=0$ by Proposition 2.1, and so $f=0$. We now prove the triangle inequality. For $i=1,2$,
consider $f_{i} \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, a complex Banach space $Y_{i}$, and $g_{i} \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, Y_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y_{i}}^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
$$

Let $Y$ be the $\ell_{1}$-sum of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$, and let $I_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical injection. The map $g=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(I_{i} \circ g_{i}\right)$ belongs to $\Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ and $\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g) \leq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma}$. Using Holder's Inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|g_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y_{i}}^{1-\sigma} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{-\sigma} g_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y_{i}}^{1-\sigma} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{\sigma(1-\sigma)} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{-\sigma} g_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y_{i}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y}^{1-\sigma}\left(\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma}+\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{2}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i} \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}\right) \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)^{1-\sigma} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma}
$$

Passing to the infimum over all such complex Banach spaces $Y$ and such maps $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, we deduce that $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} f_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{i}\right)$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$. We have a complex Banach space $Y$ and $g \in$ $\Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Therefore,
$\left\|(\lambda f)^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq|\lambda|\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{1-\sigma}=\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} g\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})$.
Since $\lambda^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} g \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, we have $\lambda f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f) \leq$ $\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} g\right)^{1-\sigma}=|\lambda| \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)^{1-\sigma}$. For $\lambda=0$, we obtain $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f)=0=$ $|\lambda| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, we deduce that $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f) \leq|\lambda| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$. Hence $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq$
$|\lambda|^{-1} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f)$, then $|\lambda| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f)$, and thus $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda f)=|\lambda| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$. So $\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is a complex normed space.

To prove its completeness, let $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ for which $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{n}\right)<\infty$. Since $\rho_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ (by Proposition 2.1) and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with the norm $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a Banach space, there exists $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}=f$ for $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$. We will prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}=f$ for $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can take a complex Banach space $Y_{n}$ and a map $g_{n} \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, Y_{n}\right)$ for which

$$
\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y_{n}}^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

with

$$
\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{n}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n}}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{n}\right)+\varepsilon
$$

Let $g=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(I_{n} \circ g_{n}\right) \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$, where $Y$ is the $\ell_{1}$-sum of all $Y_{n}$ and $I_{n}: Y_{n} \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical injection. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y_{n}}^{1-\sigma} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{Y}^{1-\sigma}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) & \leq\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \pi_{p}(g)^{1-\sigma} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(g_{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{n}\right)+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f-\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)=\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{k}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

and thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}=f$ for $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}$.

## 3 Pietsch domination

Our next result is a reformulation for $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps of Pietsch domination theorem for $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators stated by Matter in [13, Theorem 4.1]. However, to prove our result, we will apply an unified abstract version of the Pietsch domination theorem established by Pellegrino and Santos in [15, Theorem 3.1] (see also [5, 16]). Our proof is based on [6, Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 1.5].

Let us recall that $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$ is a dual Banach space (see, for example, [20]) and therefore we can consider this space equipped with its weak* topology. Let $\mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$ be the set of all Borel regular probability measures $\mu$ on $\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}, w^{*}\right)$.

Given $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right), p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$, consider the inclusion operators

$$
I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}: L_{\infty}(\mu) \rightarrow L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu)
$$

and

$$
j_{\infty}: C\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right) \rightarrow L_{\infty}(\mu)
$$

We will also use the map

$$
\iota_{\mathbb{D}}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow C\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\iota_{\mathbb{D}}(z)(g)=g^{\prime}(z) \quad\left(g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}, z \in \mathbb{D}\right),
$$

and, for a complex Banach space $X$, the isometric linear embedding

$$
\iota_{X}: X \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)
$$

given by

$$
\iota_{X}(x)\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}(x) \quad\left(x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}, x \in X\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.1 (Pietsch domination). Let $p \in[1, \infty), \sigma \in[0,1)$ and $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$.
(2) There is a constant $C \geq 0$ and a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
(3) There is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \leq C \\
& \quad \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Furthermore, the infimum of the constants $C \geq 0$ in (2) (and in (3)) is $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.
Proof $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ : If $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, then there exist a complex Banach space $Y$ and a map $g \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\|g(z)\|^{1-\sigma} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

By [6, Theorem 1.4], there is a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{1-\sigma} \\
& \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)^{1-\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}(z \in \mathbb{D}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(2) \Rightarrow(1):$ By [6, Lemma 1.5], there exists a map $k \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, L_{\infty}(\mu)\right)$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(k)=$ 1 such that $k^{\prime}=j_{\infty} \circ \iota_{\mathbb{D}}$. In fact, $k \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, L_{\infty}(\mu)\right)$ with $\pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(k)=1$. By (2), we
can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| & \leq C\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left|C^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left(I_{\infty, p} \circ j_{\infty} \circ \iota \mathbb{D}\right)(z)(h)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}}}\left|C^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left(I_{\infty, p} \circ k\right)^{\prime}(z)(h)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{L_{p}(\mu)}^{1-\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g=C^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\left(I_{\infty, p} \circ k\right) \in \Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, L_{p}(\mu)\right)$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): If (2) holds, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \leq C \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and this proves (3).
$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ : Let $R: B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})} \times(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ be given by

$$
R(h,(z, \lambda), b)=|\lambda|\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}|b|
$$

and let $S: \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X) \times(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ be defined by

$$
S(f,(z, \lambda), b)=|\lambda|\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\||b| .
$$

Then $f$ is $R$ - $S$-abstract $p /(1-\sigma)$-summing (see definition in [15]) since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} S\left(f,\left(z_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right), b_{i}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|\left|b_{i}\right|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq C \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\left|b_{i}\right|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=C \sup _{h \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(h,\left(z_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right), b_{i}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by [15, Theorem 3.1], there are $C>0$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$ such that

$$
S(f,(z, \lambda), b) \leq C\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}} R(h,(z, \lambda), b)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

for all $(z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we have

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(h)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

## 4 Pietsch factorization

We now present the analogue for $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps of Pietsch factorization theorem for $(p, \sigma)$-summing operators. Its proof is based on those of [6, Theorem 1.6] and [7, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 4.1 (Pietsch factorization). Let $p \in[1, \infty), \sigma \in[0,1)$ and $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$.
(2) There exist a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$, a map $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, L_{\infty}(\mu)\right)$ and an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu), \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)\right)$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Furthermore, $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)=\inf \left\{\|T\| \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h)\right\}$, the infimum being extended over all such decompositions of $\iota_{X} \circ f^{\prime}$ as above, and this infimum is attained.

Proof If (1) holds, then Theorem 3.1 provides a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\| \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(g)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

By [6, Lemma 1.5], there exists a map $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, L_{\infty}(\mu)\right)$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h)=1$ such that $h^{\prime}=j_{\infty} \circ \iota \mathbb{D}$. Denote the closed linear subspace

$$
S_{p /(1-\sigma)}:=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left(I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}(\mathbb{D})\right)\right) \subseteq L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu)
$$

and define $T_{0} \in \mathcal{L}\left(S_{p /(1-\sigma)}, \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)\right)$ by

$$
T_{0}\left(I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)=\iota_{X}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Notice that $\left\|T_{0}\right\| \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T_{0}\left(I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \iota_{X}\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left\|\iota_{X}\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left(\int_{B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}} \mathrm{d} \mu(g)\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \frac{\overline{\alpha_{i}}}{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|} f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|=\sup _{g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|=\sup _{\left.g \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}}\right)}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \iota_{\mathbb{D}}\left(z_{i}\right)(g)\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \mathbb{D}^{D}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} j_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{D}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mu)}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mu)} \\
& =\left\|I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{p /(1-\sigma)}}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{p /(1-\sigma)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By the injectivity of the Banach space $\ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)$ (see [9, p. 45]), there exists $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu), \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)\right)$ such that $\left.T\right|_{S_{p /(1-\sigma)}}=T_{0}$ with $\|T\|=\left\|T_{0}\right\|$. This allows us to conclude that $\iota_{X} \circ f^{\prime}=$ $T \circ I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)} \circ h^{\prime}$ with $\|T\| \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.

Conversely, assume that $\iota_{X} \circ f^{\prime}=T \circ I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)} \circ h^{\prime}$ as in (2). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|\iota_{X}\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|T\left(I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq\|T\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)}\left(h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu)}^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\|T\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mu)}^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq\|T\| \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}}{\left(1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\|T\| \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq\|T\| \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h) \sup _{g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq\|T\| \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h)$ by Theorem 3.1.

We now relate $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps with (weakly) compact Bloch maps which were introduced in [10].

Let us recall that the Bloch range of a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, denoted by $\operatorname{rang}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$, is the set

$$
\left\{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\prime}(z) \in X: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

A map $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ is called (weakly) compact Bloch if $\operatorname{rang}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ is a relatively (weakly) compact set in $X$.

Proposition 4.2 If $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$, then every $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch map $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ is weakly compact Bloch, and if in addition $X$ is reflexive, then $f$ is compact Bloch.

Proof Let $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$. Hence Theorem 4.1 guarantees that

$$
\left(\iota_{X} \circ f\right)^{\prime}=\iota_{X} \circ f^{\prime}=T \circ I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)} \circ h^{\prime}=T \circ\left(I_{\infty, p /(1-\sigma)} \circ h\right)^{\prime},
$$

for some measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}\left(B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\right)$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu), \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)\right)$ and a map $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, L_{\infty}(\mu)\right)$. Assume first $p>1$ and then the reflexivity of $L_{p /(1-\sigma)}(\mu)$ shows that $\iota_{X} \circ f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, \ell_{\infty}\left(B_{X^{*}}\right)\right)$ is weakly compact Bloch by [10, Theorem 5.6]. Now, the equality $\operatorname{rang}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\iota_{X} \circ f\right)=\iota_{X}\left(\operatorname{rang}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)\right)$ yields that $f$ is weakly compact Bloch. The case $p=1$ follows from the previous case when $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and from Proposition 2.1 and [6, Corollary 1.7] when $\sigma=0$.

So we have proved that $\operatorname{rang}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ is relatively weakly compact in $X$, and therefore relatively compact in $X$ whenever $X$ is reflexive.

## 5 Injective Banach normalized Bloch ideal

Motivated by the theory of operator ideals between Banach spaces [17], the concept of a Banach normalized Bloch ideal on $\mathbb{D}$ was introduced in [10, Definition 5.11]. Proposition 1.2 in [6] asserts that $\left[\Pi_{p}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}, \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}\right]$ is an injective Banach normalized Bloch ideal for any $p \in[1, \infty)$. We now show that $\left[\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}, \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right]$ enjoys the same property using [10].

Proposition $5.1\left[\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}, \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right]$ is an injective Banach normalized Bloch ideal for any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$.

Proof Note that we only need to prove the case $\sigma \in(0,1)$.
(N1): By Proposition 2.2, $\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is a Banach space with $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq$ $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ for all $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$.
$(\mathrm{N} 2)$ : Let $g \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $x \in X$. Let us recall that $g \cdot x \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g \cdot x)=$ $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\|x\|$ by [10, Proposition 5.13]. Assume $g \neq 0$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|(g \cdot x)^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}=\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\|x\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left|\left(\frac{g}{\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\|x\| \sup _{h \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $g \cdot x \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(g \cdot x) \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\|x\|$. Since $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\|x\|=$ $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g \cdot x) \leq \pi_{p}^{\mathcal{B}}(g \cdot x)$, we have $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(g \cdot x)=\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\|x\|$.
(N3): Let $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X), T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and let $g: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a holomorphic function with $g(0)=0$. The Pick-Schwarz Lemma assures that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 1-|g(z)|^{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Let us recall that $T \circ f \circ g \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$ by [10, Proposition 5.13]. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|(T \circ f \circ g)^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|T\left(f^{\prime}\left(g\left(z_{i}\right)\right) g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \leq\|T\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left\|f^{\prime}\left(g\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \leq\|T\| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left|h^{\prime}\left(g\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|g\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\|T\| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right) h^{\prime}\left(g\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\left(\frac{\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|}{1-\left|g\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \leq\|T\| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|(h \circ g)^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \leq\|T\| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sup _{k \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|k^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h \circ g) \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(h)$ by [10, Proposition 3.6]. Therefore, $T \circ f \circ g \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(T \circ f \circ g) \leq\|T\| \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.
(I): Let $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ and let $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear isometry so that $\iota \circ f \in$ $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, Y)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|\iota\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\left\|(\iota \circ f)^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\iota \circ f) \sup _{h \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

and thus $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\iota \circ f)$. The reverse inequality follows from (N3).

The Möbius group of $\mathbb{D}$, designated Aut $(\mathbb{D})$, consists of all biholomorphic bijections from $\mathbb{D}$ onto itself. Let us recall that a linear space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}, X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}, X)$, under a seminorm $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$, is Möbius-invariant if: (i) there is $C>0$ such that $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq C \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}, X)$; and (ii) $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(f \circ \phi)=\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ for all $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}, X)$.

By Proposition 2.1, $\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) \leq\left(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}, X), \rho_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$. Moreover, by the proof of (N3) in Proposition 5.1, one has that if $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, then $f \circ \phi \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f \circ \phi) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$, and this fact also yields $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)=$ $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left((f \circ \phi) \circ \phi^{-1}\right) \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f \circ \phi)$. So we have stated the following result which extends [6, Proposition 1.3].

Corollary $5.2\left(\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D}, X), \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is a Möbius-invariant space for $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$.

## 6 Duality

With the aim of studying the duality of the spaces $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$, we first introduce the Bloch analogues of the $(p, \sigma)$-Chevet-Saphar norms on the tensor product of two Banach spaces. We refer the reader to the references [8, 18] for a complete study on the theory of tensor product. As usual, for any linear spaces $E$ and $F$, the tensor product $E \otimes F$ equipped with a norm $\alpha$ is denoted by $E \otimes_{\alpha} F$, and its completion by $E \widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha} F$.

Towards our aim, we recall some concepts and results of [10]. For each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, a Bloch atom of $\mathbb{D}$ is the functional $\gamma_{z} \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})^{*}$ given by $\gamma_{z}(f)=f^{\prime}(z)$ for all $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$. The named Bloch molecules of $\mathbb{D}$ are the elements of the space

$$
\operatorname{lin}\left(\left\{\gamma_{z}: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}\right) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})^{*}
$$

and the Bloch-free Banach space of $\mathbb{D}$ is the space

$$
\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}):=\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\left(\left\{\gamma_{z}: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}\right) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})^{*}
$$

The map $\Gamma: z \in \mathbb{D} \mapsto \gamma_{z} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})$ is holomorphic with $\left\|\gamma_{z}\right\|=1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Define now the space of $X$-valued Bloch molecules of $\mathbb{D}$ by setting

$$
\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X:=\operatorname{lin}\left\{\gamma_{z} \otimes x: z \in \mathbb{D}, x \in X\right\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

where $\gamma_{z} \otimes x: \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the functional given by

$$
\left(\gamma_{z} \otimes x\right)(f)=\left\langle f^{\prime}(z), x\right\rangle \quad\left(f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)\right) .
$$

Plainly, each element $\gamma \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ can be expressed as $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $x_{i} \in X$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Moreover,

$$
\gamma(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right), x_{i}\right\rangle \quad\left(f \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)\right) .
$$

The following family of norms contains the $p$-Chevet-Saphar Bloch norms on $\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ introduced in [6, Subsection 2.3].

Definition 6.1 Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$. We define the $(p, \sigma)$-Chevet-Saphar Bloch norm $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}$ on $\gamma \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)= & \inf \left\{\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right\}, \\
d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)= & \inf \left\{\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}}\right\} \\
d_{\infty, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)= & \inf \left\{\left(\sup _{\left.h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \frac{1}{1-\sigma}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

the infimum being taken over all the representations of $\gamma$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}$.
The following result concerning Bloch reasonable crossnorms introduced in [6, Definition 2.5] is based on [6, Theorem 2.6].

Given a complex Banach space $X$, let us recall that a norm $\alpha$ on $\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ is a Bloch reasonable crossnorm if it satisfies the two conditions: (i) $\alpha\left(\gamma_{z} \otimes x\right) \leq\left\|\gamma_{z}\right\|\|x\|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $x \in X$; and (ii) Given $g \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, the linear functional $g \otimes x^{*}: \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\left(g \otimes x^{*}\right)\left(\gamma_{z} \otimes x\right)=g^{\prime}(z) x^{*}(x)$ is bounded on $\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes_{\alpha} X$ with $\left\|g \otimes x^{*}\right\| \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\|$.

Theorem $6.2 d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}$ is a Bloch reasonable crossnorm on $\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ for $\sigma \in[0,1)$ and $p \in[1, \infty]$.

Proof For $\sigma=0$ and $p \in[1, \infty]$, the result was stated in [6, Theorem 2.6]. We will prove it here for $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $p \in(1, \infty)$. For $p \in\{1, \infty\}$, the proofs are similar.

Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ and let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}$ be a representation of $\gamma$. Clearly, $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma) \geq 0$. Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, it is immediate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\lambda \gamma) \leq & |\lambda|\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this inequality, we infer that $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\lambda \gamma)=0=|\lambda| d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)$ if $\lambda=0$, and that $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\lambda \gamma) \leq|\lambda| d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)$ if $\lambda \neq 0$. In this case, $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)=d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\lambda^{-1}(\lambda \gamma)\right) \leq$ $\left|\lambda^{-1}\right| d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\lambda \gamma)$, hence $|\lambda| d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma) \leq d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\lambda \gamma)$, and thus also $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\lambda \gamma)=|\lambda| d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)$.

To prove the triangular inequality of $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}$, let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We can choose representations

$$
\gamma_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{1, i} \gamma_{z_{1, i}} \otimes x_{1, i}, \quad \gamma_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{2, i} \gamma_{z_{2, i}} \otimes x_{2, i}
$$

so that

$$
\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{1, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{1, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{1, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{1, i}\right\| \frac{p^{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}}{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left|\lambda_{2, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{2, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{2, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|x_{2, i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}}
$$

are less or equal than $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\varepsilon$ and $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon$, respectively.
For $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$arbitrary, define

$$
\lambda_{3, i} \gamma_{z_{3, i}}= \begin{cases}r^{-1} \lambda_{1, i} \gamma_{z_{1, i}} & i=1, \ldots, n \\ s^{-1} \lambda_{2, i-n} \gamma_{z_{2, i-n}} & i=n+1, \ldots, n+m\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
x_{3, i}= \begin{cases}r x_{1, i} & i=1, \ldots, n, \\ s x_{2, i-n} & i=n+1, \ldots, n+m .\end{cases}
$$

Plainly, $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \lambda_{3, i} \gamma_{z_{3, i}} \otimes x_{3, i}$ and, in consequence, $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right)$ is less or equal than

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+m}\left(\left|\lambda_{3, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{3, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{3, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+m}\left\|x_{3, i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple computation produces

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+m}\left(\left|\lambda_{3, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{3, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{3, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}} \\
& \leq\left(r^{-1} \sup _{h \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{1, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{1, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{1, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}} \\
&+\left(s^{-1} \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left|\lambda_{2, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{2, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{2, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n+m}\left\|x_{3, i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}=r^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{1, i}\right\| \frac{p^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}+s^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|x_{2, i}\right\|^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}}
$$

Since $p^{*} /(1-\sigma)>1$ and $\left(p^{*} /(1-\sigma)\right)^{*}=p^{*} /\left(p^{*}-(1-\sigma)\right)$, Young's Inequality gives us

$$
d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+m}\left(\left|\lambda_{3, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{3, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{3, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{n+m}\left\|x_{3, i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}} \\
\leq & \frac{(1-\sigma) r^{-\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}}{p^{*}}\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{1, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{1, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{1, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}} \\
& +\frac{\left(p^{*}-(1-\sigma)\right) r}{p^{*}} \frac{p^{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{1, i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)} \\
& +\frac{(1-\sigma) s^{-\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}}{p^{*}}\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left|\lambda_{2, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{2, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{2, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}} \\
& +\frac{\left(p^{*}-(1-\sigma)\right) s}{p^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, taking above

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\left(d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{-\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}} \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{1, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{1, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{1, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}, \\
& s=\left(d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\right)^{-\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}} \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left|\lambda_{2, i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{2, i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{2, i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

one obtains $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \leq d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+2 \varepsilon$, and the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon$ yields

$$
d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \leq d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)
$$

To conclude that $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}$ is a norm, note that the Hölder's Inequality gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma} g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)^{1-\sigma} x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}} \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \|^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}$ and $x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}$. Note that the value $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right) x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|$ is independent on the representation of $\gamma$ seeing as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right) x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}\right)\left(g \cdot x^{*}\right)=\gamma\left(g \cdot x^{*}\right)
$$

and taking infimum over all representations of $\gamma$ produces

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right) x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma) \quad\left(g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}, x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}\right) .
$$

Now, if $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)=0$, the above inequality gives

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \gamma_{z_{i}}\right)(g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)=0 \quad\left(g \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}, x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}\right) .
$$

For each $x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}$, this implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \gamma_{z_{i}}=0$, and since $\Gamma(\mathbb{D})$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D})$ (see [10, Remark 2.8]), we secure that $x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \lambda_{i}=0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, hence $\lambda_{i}=0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ since $B_{X^{*}}$ separate points, and so $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}=0$.

To finish, we show that $d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}$ is a Bloch reasonable crossnorm on $\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$ :
(i) Given $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\gamma_{z} \otimes x\right) \leq\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\|x\| \\
& \leq \frac{\|x\|}{1-|z|^{2}}=\left\|\gamma_{z}\right\|\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For any $g \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(g \otimes x^{*}\right)(\gamma)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(g \otimes x^{*}\right)\left(\gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right) x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left|g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left|x^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \\
& \quad=\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\| \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left|f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|\left\|x_{i}\right\|=\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\| \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \\
& \quad \times\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\left\|x_{i}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|f_{z_{i}}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\| \frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}} \\
\leq & \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\|\left(\sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p^{*}}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-(1-\sigma)}{p^{*}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the infimum over all the representations of $\gamma$ yields

$$
\left|\left(g \otimes x^{*}\right)(\gamma)\right| \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\| d_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma)
$$

Therefore, $g \otimes x^{*} \in\left(\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes_{d_{p, \sigma}} X\right)^{*}$ and $\left\|g \otimes x^{*}\right\| \leq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g)\left\|x^{*}\right\|$.

We are now in a position to address the duality of the space of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous Bloch maps from $\mathbb{D}$ into the dual space $X^{*}$ of a complex Banach space $X$. In the proof of the following result, we will make use of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 6.3 Let $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in[0,1)$. Then $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \widehat{\otimes}_{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\widehat{\widehat{ }}}} X\right)^{*}$, via the canonical pairing

$$
\Lambda(f)(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right), x_{i}\right\rangle
$$

for all $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$ and $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i} \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$.
Proof For $\sigma=0$, the result follows from Proposition 2.1 and [6, Theorem 2.8]. Assume $\sigma \in(0,1)$. We are going to prove the case $1<p<\infty$. The case $p=1$ follows similarly.

Let $f \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$ and define the linear map $\Lambda_{0}(f): \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting

$$
\Lambda_{0}(f)(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right), x_{i}\right\rangle \quad\left(\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i} \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X\right) .
$$

Since $p /(1-\sigma)>1$ and $(p /(1-\sigma))^{*}=p /(p-(1-\sigma))$, Hölder Inequality and Theorem 3.1 provide

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Lambda_{0}(f)(\gamma)\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right), x_{i}\right\rangle\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|\left\|x_{i}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left\|f^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{p}{p-(1-\sigma)}}\right)^{\frac{p-(1-\sigma)}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) \sup _{h \in B_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \quad\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{p}{p-(1-\sigma)}}\right)^{\frac{p-(1-\sigma)}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculating the infimum on all the representations of $\gamma$ yields

$$
\left|\Lambda_{0}(f)(\gamma)\right| \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f) d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}(\gamma) .
$$

Hence $\Lambda_{0}(f)$ is continuous on $\operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes_{d \widehat{p^{*}, \sigma}} X$ with $\left\|\Lambda_{0}(f)\right\| \leq \pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.
Clearly, $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \otimes X$ is a norm-dense linear subspace of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \widehat{\otimes}_{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}} X$ and therefore we can find a unique continuous map $\Lambda(f): \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \widehat{\otimes}_{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extending $\Lambda_{0}(f)$. Further, $\Lambda(f)$ is linear and $\|\Lambda(f)\|=\left\|\Lambda_{0}(f)\right\|$.

In this way, we define a map $\Lambda: \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \widehat{\otimes}_{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}} X\right)^{*}$. By [6, Corollary 2.3], $\Lambda$ is linear and injective since $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$. We now prove that $\Lambda$ is a surjective isometry. For it, let $\varphi \in\left(\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \widehat{\otimes}_{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}} X\right)^{*}$ and define $F_{\varphi}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X^{*}$ by

$$
\left\langle F_{\varphi}(z), x\right\rangle=\varphi\left(\gamma_{z} \otimes x\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}, x \in X)
$$

Apparently (see, for example, the proof of [6, Proposition 2.4]), $F_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$ and $F_{\varphi}=f_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ for a suitable map $f_{\varphi} \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{\varphi}\right) \leq\|\varphi\|$.

To prove that $f_{\varphi} \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we can find $x_{i} \in X$ with $\left\|x_{i}\right\| \leq 1+\varepsilon$ so that

$$
\left\langle f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right), x_{i}\right\rangle=\left\|f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\| .
$$

Obviously, $T: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
T\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \lambda_{i}\left\|f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|, \quad\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

is in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{\left.(p /(1-\sigma))^{*}\right)^{*}}\right.$ and

$$
\|T\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left\|f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

If $\left\|\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right\|_{(p /(1-\sigma))^{*}} \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right|=\left|\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}\right)\right| \leq\|\varphi\| d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes t_{i} x_{i}\right) \\
& \quad \leq\|\varphi\| \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|t_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{\frac{p}{p-(1-\sigma)}}\right)^{\frac{p-(1-\sigma)}{p}} \\
& \quad \leq(1+\varepsilon)\|\varphi\| \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left\|f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}} \\
& \leq\|\varphi\| \sup _{h \in B_{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{D})}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\left(\frac{1}{1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\left|h^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently Theorem 3.1 tells us that $f_{\varphi} \in \Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}\left(\mathbb{D}, X^{*}\right)$ with $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{\varphi}\right) \leq\|\varphi\|$.
Now, for any $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i} \in \operatorname{lin}(\Gamma(\mathbb{D})) \otimes X$, one has
$\Lambda\left(f_{\varphi}\right)(\gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left\langle f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right), x_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \varphi\left(\gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{z_{i}} \otimes x_{i}\right)=\varphi(\gamma)$,
and $\Lambda\left(f_{\varphi}\right)=\varphi$ on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}) \widehat{\otimes}_{d_{p^{*}, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}} X$. Further, $\pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(f_{\varphi}\right) \leq\left\|\Lambda\left(f_{\varphi}\right)\right\|$. This completes the proof.
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