



Isometric Composition Operators on Lipschitz Spaces

A. Jiménez-Vargas 

Abstract. Given pointed metric spaces X and Y , we characterize the basepoint-preserving Lipschitz maps ϕ from Y to X inducing an isometric composition operator C_ϕ between the Lipschitz spaces $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ and $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$, whenever X enjoys the peak property. This gives an answer to a question posed by Weaver in his book [Lipschitz algebras. Second edition. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2018].

Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B33, 47B38.

Keywords. Composition operator, Lipschitz function, isometry, peak property.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a pointed metric space with a basepoint designated by e_X , and let \tilde{X} denote the set

$$\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \neq y\}.$$

The Lipschitz space $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ is the Banach space of all Lipschitz functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(e_X) = 0$, under the Lipschitz norm:

$$\text{Lip}(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : (x, y) \in \tilde{X} \right\}.$$

Throughout the paper, unless specified otherwise, X and Y will denote two pointed metric spaces. Every Lipschitz map ϕ from Y to X which preserves the basepoint produces a bounded composition operator C_ϕ from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$, defined by $C_\phi f = f \circ \phi$ for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$. The map ϕ is known as the symbol of the operator C_ϕ .

The problem of characterizing those symbols ϕ which induce isometric composition operators C_ϕ (not necessarily surjective) has been raised recently by Weaver in [8, p. 53]. The same question was addressed by some authors for isometric composition operators on Banach spaces of analytic functions (see [5] and the papers that cite it).

In the surjective case, Weaver proved in [8, Proposition 2.28 (iii)] that those basepoint-preserving Lipschitz symbols ϕ from Y to X that generate surjective isometric composition operators C_ϕ from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$ are precisely the surjective isometries from Y to X , whenever X and Y are complete.

A description of all linear isometries (surjective or not) of $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ does not seem to be known. Given $\alpha \in]0, 1[$, we denote by X^α the metric space (X, d^α) . If X and Y are compact, the linear isometries from $\text{Lip}_0(X^\alpha)$ onto $\text{Lip}_0(Y^\alpha)$ were characterized by Mayer-Wolf in [6, Theorem 3.3]. He showed that a linear operator $T: \text{Lip}_0(X^\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y^\alpha)$ is a surjective isometry if and only if it is of the form

$$T(f)(y) = \lambda k^{-\alpha} (f(\phi(y)) - f(\phi(e_Y)))$$

for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X^\alpha)$ and $y \in Y$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a bijective k -dilation with $\text{diam}(X) = k \cdot \text{diam}(Y)$. Given $k > 0$, a map $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a k -dilation if $d_X(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = k \cdot d_Y(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in Y$. Mayer-Wolf's result was extended by Weaver for surjective linear isometries from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$, when X and Y are complete and uniformly concave [8, Theorem 3.56].

According to [8, Definition 3.33], a metric space X is said to be concave if

$$d(x, y) < d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

for any triple of distinct points $x, y, z \in X$, and uniformly concave if for every distinct points $x, y \in X$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) - \delta$$

for all $z \in X$ such that $d(x, z), d(y, z) \geq \varepsilon$. The class of uniformly concave metric spaces includes any closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n with the Euclidean norm or any compact subset of a strictly convex Banach space both without colinear triples, the unit sphere of any uniformly convex Banach space and Hölder spaces, among others (see [8, Section 3.5]).

Uniform concavity is closely related to the extremal structure of the closed unit ball $B_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$ of the Lipschitz free Banach space

$$\mathcal{F}(X) := \overline{\text{lin}} \{ \delta_x : x \in X \} \subset \text{Lip}_0(X)^*,$$

where $\delta_x(f) := f(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$. Let us recall that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the canonical predual of $\text{Lip}_0(X)$. By Theorems 3.39 in [8] and 4.1 in [1], X is uniformly concave if and only if every molecule $(\delta_x - \delta_y)/d(x, y)$ is a preserved extreme point of $B_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$.

The notion of peaking function has been a very important tool in the study of the isometric theory of Lipschitz spaces. According to [7, Definition 2.4.1], a function $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ with $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$ is said to peak at $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$ if

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)} = 1,$$

and for each open set $U \subset \tilde{X}$ containing (x, y) and (y, x) , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f(z) - f(w)|}{d(z, w)} \leq 1 - \delta$$

for all $(z, w) \in \tilde{X} \setminus U$. Colloquially, if f peaks at $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$, we have that $|f(z) - f(w)|/d(z, w)$ is uniformly less than 1 when (z, w) is away from (x, y) and (y, x) .

We say that a pointed metric space X has the peak property if for every $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$, there is a function $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ with $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$ that peaks at (x, y) . Hölder spaces constitute a class of metric spaces with the peak property (see the proof of Proposition 2.4.5 in [7]). By [3, Theorem 5.4], X has the peak property if and only if every molecule $(\delta_x - \delta_y)/d(x, y)$ is a strongly exposed point of $B_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$.

In this note, we characterize all basepoint-preserving Lipschitz maps ϕ from Y to X whose induced composition operators C_ϕ from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$ are isometries, whenever X has the peak property. We also give a condition for ϕ to induce an isometric composition operator C_ϕ without any restriction on X .

2. Results

Let us recall that a map $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ is nonexpansive if $d_X(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq d_Y(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in Y$. A nonexpansive map $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ which preserves the basepoint can induce or not an isometric composition operator $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$. For example, each k -dilation $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ with $k \in]0, 1]$ is nonexpansive and if, in addition, $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ is nonconstant, preserves the basepoint and has dense range, then $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$ is an isometry if and only if $k = 1$ (that is, if ϕ is an isometry).

We first give a sufficient condition for a basepoint-preserving Lipschitz map ϕ from Y to X to be the symbol of an isometric composition operator from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X and Y be pointed metric spaces and let $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a Lipschitz map which preserves the basepoint. Assume that ϕ is nonexpansive and satisfies the property (M): for every point $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$, there exists a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ in \tilde{Y} such that $\{\phi(x_n)\} \rightarrow x$, $\{\phi(y_n)\} \rightarrow y$ and*

$$\left\{ \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d_Y(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Then $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$ is an isometry.

Proof. Since ϕ is nonexpansive, we have

$$\text{Lip}(f \circ \phi) \leq \text{Lip}(f)\text{Lip}(\phi) \leq \text{Lip}(f)$$

for every $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$. In order to check the converse inequality, take $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$. Hence there exists a sequence $\{(a_m, b_m)\}$ in \tilde{X} such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(a_m) - f(b_m)|}{d_X(a_m, b_m)} = \text{Lip}(f).$$

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By assumption we can find a sequence $\{(x_n^{(m)}, y_n^{(m)})\}$ in \tilde{Y} satisfying that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), a_m) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(\phi(y_n^{(m)}), b_m) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))}{d_Y(x_n^{(m)}, y_n^{(m)})} = 1.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)})) = d_X(a_m, b_m) > 0$ and, therefore, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)})) > 0$ for all $n \geq p$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(f \circ \phi) &= \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(\phi(x)) - f(\phi(y))|}{d_Y(x, y)} \\ &\geq \frac{|f(\phi(x_n^{(m)})) - f(\phi(y_n^{(m)}))|}{d_Y(x_n^{(m)}, y_n^{(m)})} \\ &= \frac{|f(\phi(x_n^{(m)})) - f(\phi(y_n^{(m)}))|}{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))} \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))}{d_Y(x_n^{(m)}, y_n^{(m)})} \\ &\geq \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))}{d_Y(x_n^{(m)}, y_n^{(m)})} \left(\frac{|f(a_m) - f(b_m)|}{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{Lip}(f) \frac{d_X(a_m, \phi(x_n^{(m)}))}{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))} - \text{Lip}(f) \frac{d_X(b_m, \phi(y_n^{(m)}))}{d_X(\phi(x_n^{(m)}), \phi(y_n^{(m)}))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq p$, and taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\text{Lip}(f \circ \phi) \geq \frac{|f(a_m) - f(b_m)|}{d_X(a_m, b_m)}.$$

Since m was arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\text{Lip}(f \circ \phi) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(a_m) - f(b_m)|}{d_X(a_m, b_m)} = \text{Lip}(f).$$

□

Note that there are symbols ϕ satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2.1; for example, every basepoint-preserving isometry with dense range $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$.

In general, we can establish a kind of reciprocal result of Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X and Y be pointed metric spaces and let $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a Lipschitz map which preserves the basepoint. Assume that $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow$*

$\text{Lip}_0(Y)$ is an isometry. Then ϕ is nonexpansive and has the following additional property: for every point $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$, there exists a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ in \tilde{Y} such that $\{\phi(x_n)\} \rightarrow x$, $\{\phi(y_n)\} \rightarrow y$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d_Y(x_n, y_n)} \leq 1.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\|C_\phi\| \leq 1$, and since $\|C_\phi\| = \text{Lip}(\phi)$ by [8, Proposition 2.23] (completeness of X and Y is not needed to prove this formula in [8]), it follows that ϕ is nonexpansive. In order to show that ϕ has the above-cited property, let $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$. Note that C_ϕ is injective and, therefore, $\phi(Y)$ is dense in X by [8, Proposition 2.25 (ii)] (completeness of X and Y is not necessary to prove this fact). Hence we can take sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in Y such that $\{\phi(x_n)\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{\phi(y_n)\} \rightarrow y$. It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n)) = d_X(x, y) > 0$; hence there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n)) > 0$ for all $n \geq p$ and thus $d_Y(x_n, y_n) > 0$ for all $n \geq p$. Since $d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))/d_Y(x_n, y_n) \leq 1$ for all $n \geq p$, taking subsequences if necessary, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d_Y(x_n, y_n)} \leq 1.$$

□

We shall next prove that the basepoint-preserving Lipschitz maps $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ for which C_ϕ is an isometry from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$, are precisely the nonexpansive maps satisfying the property (M), whenever X has the peak property.

We shall make use of the following sequential characterization of peaking functions. It appears without proof in [3] and we prove it here for completeness.

Lemma 2.3 [3]. *Let X be a pointed metric space, $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ with $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$. Then f peaks at (x, y) if and only if*

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)} = 1,$$

and the following property (P) holds: if $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ is a sequence in \tilde{X} such that

$$\left\{ \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1,$$

then $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$.

Proof. Assume that f peaks at (x, y) . Then

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)} = 1.$$

In order to prove that f satisfies the property (P), let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ be a sequence in \tilde{X} such that

$$\left\{ \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1.$$

If the conclusion of the property (P) is not satisfied, we could find a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ and subsequences $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ and $\{y_{\sigma(n)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$, respectively, satisfying that $d(x_{\sigma(n)}, x) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $d(y_{\sigma(n)}, y) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, the set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N}: d(x_{\sigma(n)}, y) \geq \varepsilon\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N}: d(y_{\sigma(n)}, x) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is nonempty. Taking subsequences of $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ and $\{y_{\sigma(n)}\}$, we can suppose that $d(x_{\sigma(n)}, y) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $d(y_{\sigma(n)}, x) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f peaks at (x, y) , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f(y_{\sigma(n)})|}{d(x_{\sigma(n)}, y_{\sigma(n)})} \leq 1 - \delta$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and since

$$\left\{ \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1,$$

we would arrive at a contradiction. This proves that $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$.

Conversely, suppose that

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{d(x, y)} = 1$$

and the property (P) is satisfied, but f does not peak at (x, y) . Hence there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ in \tilde{X} satisfying that $\max\{d(x_n, x), d(y_n, y)\} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\max\{d(x_n, y), d(y_n, x)\} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)} > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\left\{ \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1,$$

but the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ does not satisfy the conclusion of the property (P), a contradiction. \square

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X and Y be pointed metric spaces and let $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a basepoint-preserving Lipschitz map. Assume that X enjoys the peak property. Then $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$ is an isometry if and only if ϕ is nonexpansive and satisfies the property (M): for every point $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$, there exists a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ in \tilde{Y} such that $\{\phi(x_n)\} \rightarrow x$, $\{\phi(y_n)\} \rightarrow y$ and*

$$\left\{ \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d_Y(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Theorem 2.1. To prove the necessity, assume that $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(Y)$ is an isometry. Then ϕ is nonexpansive by Proposition 2.2. We now show that ϕ enjoys the property (M). Let $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}$. Since X has the peak property, there exists a function $f_{(x,y)} \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ with

$\text{Lip}(f_{(x,y)}) = 1$ that peaks at (x, y) . Note that $\text{Lip}(f \circ \phi) = \text{Lip}(f)$ for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$. Since $\text{Lip}(f_{(x,y)} \circ \phi) = 1$, we can take a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ in \tilde{Y} such that

$$\left\{ \frac{|f_{(x,y)}(\phi(x_n)) - f_{(x,y)}(\phi(y_n))|}{d_Y(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Using that $\text{Lip}(f_{(x,y)}) = 1$ and $\text{Lip}(\phi) \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d_Y(x_n, y_n)} \right\} \rightarrow 1.$$

An easy argument yields that

$$\left\{ \frac{|f_{(x,y)}(\phi(x_n)) - f_{(x,y)}(\phi(y_n))|}{d_X(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))} \right\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Taking subsequences, we have that

$$\left\{ \frac{f_{(x,y)}(\phi(x_{\sigma(n)})) - f_{(x,y)}(\phi(y_{\sigma(n)}))}{d_X(\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}), \phi(y_{\sigma(n)}))} \right\} \rightarrow 1,$$

or

$$\left\{ \frac{f_{(x,y)}(\phi(x_{\sigma(n)})) - f_{(x,y)}(\phi(y_{\sigma(n)}))}{d_X(\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}), \phi(y_{\sigma(n)}))} \right\} \rightarrow -1.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, it follows that $\{\phi(x_{\sigma(n)})\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{\phi(y_{\sigma(n)})\} \rightarrow y$ in the first case, or $\{\phi(y_{\sigma(n)})\} \rightarrow x$ and $\{\phi(x_{\sigma(n)})\} \rightarrow y$ in the second one. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 2.5. Our description of isometric composition operators on $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ is a Lipschitz version of a characterization of isometric composition operators on the Bloch space \mathcal{B} , obtained by Martín and Vukotić [5].

In view of another characterization of isometric composition operators on \mathcal{B} stated by Colonna in [2, Theorem 5], it would be interesting to study under which conditions the basepoint-preserving Lipschitz self-maps ϕ of X inducing an isometric composition operator C_ϕ on $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ are precisely those having Lipschitz constant equal to one.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Junta de Andalucía grant FQM194. We would like to thank Abraham Rueda for letting us know about Lemma 2.3 and for supplying us with a copy of his papers. This note was written during the review [4] of the monograph [8] for Mathematical Reviews/MathSciNet.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Aliaga, R.J., Guirao, A.J.: On the preserved extremal structure of Lipschitz-free spaces. *Studia Math.* **245**(1), 1–14 (2019)
- [2] Colonna, F.: Characterisation of the isometric composition operators on the Bloch space. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **72**, 283–290 (2005)
- [3] García-Lirola, L., Procházka, A., Rueda Zoca, A.: A characterisation of the Daugavet property in spaces of Lipschitz functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **464**(1), 473–492 (2018)
- [4] A. Jiménez-Vargas, MR3792558 (this is the review of [8])
- [5] Martín, M., Vukotić, D.: Isometries of the Bloch space among the composition operators. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39**, 151–155 (2007)
- [6] Mayer-Wolf, E.: Isometries between Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions. *Isr. J. Math.* **38**, 58–74 (1981)
- [7] Weaver, N.: *Lipschitz Algebras*. World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge (1999)
- [8] Weaver, N.: *Lipschitz algebras*, Second edn. World Scientific Publishing Co., Hackensack (2018)

A. Jiménez-Vargas
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de Almería
04120 Almería
Spain
e-mail: ajimenez@ual.es

Received: July 11, 2019.

Accepted: February 5, 2020.