

SOME RESULTS IN GENERALIZED ŠERSTNEV SPACES

M. Alimohammady, B. Lafuerza-Guillén and R. Saadati

Reprint from the **Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society**

Vol. 31, No. 1 April 2005

SOME RESULTS IN GENERALIZED ŠERSTNEV SPACES

M. ALIMOHAMMADY, B. LAFUERZA-GUILLÉN AND R. SAADATI*

Communicated by Fraydoun Rezakhanlou

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that D-compactness in Generalized Šerstnev spaces implies D-boundedness and as in the classical case, a D-bounded and closed subset of a characteristic Generalized Šerstnev is not D-compact in general. Finally, in the finite dimensional Generalized Šerstnev spaces a subset is D-compact if and only if it is D-bounded and closed.

1. Preliminaries

Probabilistic normed spaces (PN spaces henceforth) were introduced by Šerstnev in 1963 [9]. In the sequel, we adopt the new definition of Generalized Šerstnev PN spaces given in the paper by

MSC(2000): Primary 54E70

Keywords: Probabilistic normed space, Generalized Šerstnev space, Finite dimensional space, Compactness

Received: 29 June 2005, Revised: 19 October 2005

^{*}Corresponding author

^{© 2005} Iranian Mathematical Society.

Lafuerza-Guillén and Rodríguez [7]. The notations and concepts used are those of [2, 3, 4, 5, 7] and [10].

In the sequel, the space of probability distribution functions is denoted by $\Delta^+ = \{F: \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \longrightarrow [0,1]: F \text{ is left-continuous and non-decreasing on } \mathbf{R}, F(0) = 0 \text{ and } F(+\infty) = 1\}$ and $D^+ \subseteq \Delta^+$ is defined as follows $D^+ = \{F \in \Delta^+: l^-F(+\infty) = 1\}$. Here $l^-f(x)$ denotes the left limit of the function f at the point f at the point f and f and f and f and f and f are usual point-wise ordering of functions i.e., $f \in G$ if and only if f and f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f a

$$\varepsilon_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \le 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1. A probabilistic normed (briefly, PN) space is a quadruple (V, ν, τ, τ^*) , where V is a real vector space, τ and τ^* are continuous triangle functions, and ν is a mapping from V into Δ^+ such that, for all p, q in V, the following conditions hold:

- (N1) $\nu_p = \varepsilon_0$ if and only if $p = \theta$, where θ is the null vector in V;
- (N2) $\nu_{-p} = \nu_p$ for each $p \in V$;
- (N3) $\nu_{p+q} \ge \tau(\nu_p, \nu_q)$ for all $p, q \in V$;
- (N4) $\nu_p \leq \tau^*(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p})$ for all α in [0, 1].

If the inequality (N4) is replaced by the equality $\nu_p = \tau_M(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p})$, then the PN space is called *Šerstnev* space and, as a consequence, a condition stronger than (N2) holds, namely, $\nu_{\lambda p}(x) = \nu_p(\frac{x}{|\lambda|})$ for all $p \in V$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Following [1, 7], for $0 < b \le +\infty$, let M_b be the set of m-transforms consisting of all continuous and strictly increasing functions from [0, b] onto $[0, +\infty]$. More generally, let \widetilde{M} be the set of non-decreasing left-continuous functions $\phi: [0, +\infty] \longrightarrow [0, +\infty]$, $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $\phi(x) > 0$ for x > 0. Then $M_b \subseteq \widetilde{M}$ once m is extended to $[0, +\infty]$ by $m(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \ge b$. Note that a function $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ is bijective if and only if $\phi \in M_{+\infty}$. Sometimes, the probabilistic norms ν and ν' of two given PN spaces satisfy $\nu' = \nu \phi$ for some $\phi \in M_{+\infty}$, not necessarily bijective. Let $\hat{\phi}$ be the (unique) quasi-inverse of ϕ which is left-continuous. Recall from [10] page 49, that $\hat{\phi}$ is defined by $\hat{\phi}(0) = 0$, $\hat{\phi}(+\infty) = +\infty$ and $\hat{\phi}(t) = \sup\{u: \phi(u) < t\}$ for all $0 < t < +\infty$. It follows that $\hat{\phi}(\phi(x)) \le x$ and $\phi(\hat{\phi}(y)) \le y$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and y.

Definition 1.2. A quadruple (V, ν, τ, τ^*) satisfying the ϕ -Šerstnev condition

$$\nu_{\lambda p}(x) = \nu_p(\hat{\phi}(\frac{\phi(x)}{|\lambda|})),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $p \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is called a ϕ -Šerstnev PN space (generalized Šerstnev space).

Lemma 1.3. [2] If $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$, then $\nu_{\beta p} \leq \nu_{\alpha p}$ for every p in V.

Definition 1.4. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a PN space. For each p in V and $\lambda > 0$, the strong $\lambda - neighborhood$ of p is the set

$$N_p(\lambda) = \{ q \in V : \nu_{p-q}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda \},$$

and the strong neighborhood system for V is the union $\bigcup_{p\in V} \mathcal{N}_p$ where $\mathcal{N}_p = \{N_p(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}$. The strong neighborhood system for V determines a Hausdorff topology for V.

Definition 1.5. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a PN space, a sequence $\{p_n\}_n$ in V is said to be *strongly convergent* to p in V if for each $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $p_n \in N_p(\lambda)$, for $n \geq N$. Also the sequence $\{p_n\}_n$ in V is called *strongly Cauchy* sequence if for every $\lambda > 0$ there is a positive integer N such that $\nu_{p_n-p_m}(\lambda) > 1 - \lambda$, whenever m, n > N. A PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is said to be *strongly complete* in the strong topology if every strongly Cauchy sequence in V is strongly convergent to a point in V.

Definition 1.6. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a PN space and A be the non-empty subset of V. The *probabilistic radius* of A is the function R_A defined on \mathbf{R}^+ by

$$R_A(x) = \begin{cases} l^{-} \inf \{ \nu_p(x) : p \in A \}, & \text{if } x \in [0, +\infty), \\ 1, & \text{if } x = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

A nonempty set A in a PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is said to be

- (a) certainly bounded, if $R_A(x_0) = 1$ for some $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$;
- (b) perhaps bounded, if one has $R_A(x) < 1$ for every $x \in (0, +\infty)$ and $l^-R_A(+\infty) = 1$;

- (c) perhaps unbounded, if $R_A(x_0) > 0$ for some $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $l^-R_A(+\infty) \in (0, 1)$;
- (d) certainly unbounded, if $l^-R_A(+\infty)=0$ i.e., if $R_A=\varepsilon_\infty$. Moreover, A is said to be D-bounded if either (a) or (b) holds, i.e. $R_A\in D^+$. If $R_A\in \Delta^+\setminus D^+$, A is called D-unbounded.

Theorem 1.7. [5] A subset A in the PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is D-bounded if and only if there exists a d.f. $G \in D^+$ such that $\nu_p \geq G$ for every $p \in A$.

Definition 1.8. A subset A of Topological Vector Space (briefly, TVS) V is said to be topologically bounded if for every sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of real numbers that converges to zero as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$ and for every $\{p_n\}$ of elements of A, one has $\alpha_n p_n \longrightarrow \theta$, in the strong topology.

Theorem 1.9. [3] Suppose (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is a PN space, endowed with the strong topology induced by the probabilistic norm ν . Then it is a TVS if and only if for every $p \in V$ the map from \mathbf{R} into V defined by

$$\alpha \longmapsto \alpha p$$

is continuous. The PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is called characteristic whenever $\nu(V) \subseteq D^+$

Theorem 1.10. [7] Let $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} \hat{\phi}(x) = \infty$. Then a ϕ -Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is a TVS if and only if it is characteristic.

2. Results

In this section we study D-bounded and D-compact sets in ϕ -Šerstnev PN spaces.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} \hat{\phi}(x) = \infty$. Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev PN space. Then for a subset A of V the following are equivalent.

- (a) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subset kN_{\theta}(1/n)$.
- (b) A is D-bounded.
- (c) A is topologically bounded.

Proof. From [7], (a) and (b) are equivalent. For (b) \Longrightarrow (c), let A be any D-bounded subset of V, $\{p_n\}$ be any sequence in A and $\{\alpha_n\}$ any sequence of real numbers that converges to zero. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha_n \neq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every x > 0 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\nu_{\alpha_n p_n}(x) = \nu_{p_n}(\hat{\phi}(\frac{\phi(x)}{\alpha_n})) \ge R_A(\hat{\phi}(\frac{\phi(x)}{\alpha_n})) \longrightarrow 1$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus $\alpha_n p_n \longrightarrow \theta$.

 $(c) \Longrightarrow (b)$. Let A be a subset of V which is not D-bounded. Then

$$R_A(x) \longrightarrow \gamma < 1,$$

as $x \to \infty$. By definition of R_A , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $p_n \in A$ such that

$$\nu_{p_n}(\hat{\phi}(n\phi(n)) < \frac{1+\gamma}{2} < 1.$$

Choosing $\alpha_n = 1/n$,

$$\nu_{\alpha_n p_n}(1) \le \nu_{\alpha_n p_n}(n) = \nu_{p_n}(\hat{\phi}(n\phi(n))) < \frac{1+\gamma}{2} < 1,$$

which shows that $\{\nu_{\alpha_n p_n}\}$ does not tend to ε_0 , even it has a weak limit, i.e., $\{\alpha_n p_n\}$ does not tend to θ in the strong topology, so A is not topologically bounded.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\phi \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $\lim_{x \to \infty} \hat{\phi}(x) = \infty$ and let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev PN space. If $p_m \to p_0$ in V and $A = \{p_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then A is a D-bounded subset of V.

Proof. Let $p_m \longrightarrow p_0$ and $\alpha_m \longrightarrow 0$. Then there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \ge m_0$, $0 < \alpha_m < 1$; so that

$$\nu_{\alpha_m p_m} \geq \tau(\nu_{\alpha_m(p_m - p_0)}, \nu_{\alpha_m p_0}) \\
\longrightarrow \tau(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \\
= \varepsilon_0,$$

as m tends to infinity.

Example 2.3. The quadruple $(\mathbf{R}, \nu, \tau_{\pi}, \tau_{\pi}^*)$, where $\nu : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \Delta^+$ is defined by

$$\nu_p(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ exp(-|p|^{1/2}) & \text{if } 0 < x < +\infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = +\infty, \end{cases}$$

and $\nu_0 = \varepsilon_0$ is a PN space but is not Šerstnev space (see [2]). The sequence $\{\frac{1}{m}\}$ is convergent but $A = \{\frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not D-bounded set. The only D-bounded set in this space is $\{0\}$.

Definition 2.4. The characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is said to be distributionally compact (simply *D-compact*) if every

sequence $\{p_m\}_m$ in V has a convergent subsequence $\{p_{m_k}\}$. A subset A of a characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is said to be D-compact if every sequence $\{p_m\}$ in A has a subsequence $\{p_{m_k}\}$ convergent to an element $p \in A$.

Proposition 2.5. A D-compact subset of a characteristic ϕ Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) is D-bounded and closed.

Proof. Suppose that $A \subseteq V$ is D-compact. From Proposition 2.1 it is enough show that A is topologically bounded. Now assume that there is a sequence $\{p_m\} \subseteq A$ and a real sequence $\alpha_m \longrightarrow 0$ such that $\{\alpha_m p_m\}$ does not tend to the origin in V. Then there is an infinite set $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $\{\alpha_m p_m\}_{m \in J}$ lies in the complement of a neighborhood of the origin. Now $\{p_m\}$ is a subset of D-compact set A, so it has a convergent subsequence $\{p_m\}_{m \in J'}$. From Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 $\{p_m\}_{m \in J'}$ is topologically bounded and so $\{\alpha_m p_m\}_{m \in J'}$ tends to origin which is a contradiction. The closedness of A is trivial .

As in the classical case, a D-bounded and closed subset of a characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev is not D-compact in general, as one can see from the next example.

Example 2.6. We consider quadruple $(\mathbf{Q}, \nu, \tau_{\pi}, \tau_{M})$, where $\pi(x, y) = xy$ for every $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and probabilistic norm $\nu_{p}(t) = \frac{t}{t+|p|}$. It is straightforward to check that $(\mathbf{Q}, \nu, \tau_{\pi}, \tau_{M})$ is a characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev PN space. In this space, convergence of a sequence is equivalent to its convergence in \mathbf{Q} . We consider the subset $A = [a, b] \cap \mathbf{Q}$ with $a, b \in \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q}$. Since $R_{A}(t) = \frac{t}{t + \max\{|a|, |b|\}}$,

the set A is D-bounded and since A is closed in \mathbf{Q} classically, it is closed in $(\mathbf{Q}, \nu, \tau_{\pi}, \tau_{M})$. We know that A is not classically compact in \mathbf{Q} , i.e., there exists a sequence in \mathbf{Q} with no convergent subsequence in the classical sense and so in $(\mathbf{Q}, \nu, \tau_{\pi}, \tau_{M})$. Hence, A is not D-compact.

We close the paper by quoting two recent results on D-compactness and strong completeness in ϕ -Šerstnev PN spaces.

Theorem 2.7. [8] Consider a finite dimensional characteristic ϕ Šerstnev PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) on real field $(\mathbf{R}, \nu', \tau', \tau'^*)$. Every subset A of V is D-compact if and only if A is D-bounded and closed.

Theorem 2.8. [4] Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) be a characteristic ϕ -Šerstnev PN space and let S be a compact subset of V. Then S is strongly complete.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referees and Professor Rahim Zaare Nahandi for giving useful comments and suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alsina and B. Schweizer, The countable product of probabilistic metric spaces, *Houston J. Math.* 9 (1983), 303–310.
- [2] C. Alsina, B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, On the definition of a probabilistic normed space, Aequationes Math. 46 (1993), 91–98.
- [3] C. Alsina, B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Continuity properties of probabilistic norms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **208** (1997), 446–452.

- [4] S. B. Hosseini and R. Saadati, Completeness results in probabilistic metric spaces. I, *Commun. Appl. Anal.* 9 (2005), 549–554.
- [5] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, A. Rodríguez-Lallena and C. Sempi, A study of boundedness in probabilistic normed spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 232 (1999), 183–196.
- [6] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, A. Rodríguez-Lallena and C. Sempi, Normability of probabilistic normed spaces, to appear in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*
- [7] B. Lafuerza-Guillén, J. L. Rodríguez, Boundedness in generalized Šerstnev spaces, http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.PR/0408207.
- [8] R. Saadati and M. Amini, D-boundedness and D-compactness in finite dimensional probabilistic normed spaces, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 115 (2005), 483–492.
- [9] A. N. Šerstnev, On the notion of a random normed space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 149 (2) (1963), 280–283 (English translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 388–390).
- [10] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Elsevier North Holand, New York, 1983.

M. Alimohammady

Dept. of Math.
University of Mazandaran
Babolsar, Iran
and
Islamic Azad University-Noor Branch
Noor, Iran
e-mail:amohsen@umz.ac.ir

B. Lafuerza-Guillén

Departamento de Estadística y Matemática Aplicada Universidad de Almería 04120 Almería Spain e-mail:blafuerz@ual.es

R. Saadati

Institute for Studies in Applied Mathematics
1, 4th Fajr
Amol 46176-54553, Iran
and
Islamic Azad University-Amol Branch
Amol, Iran
e-mail:rsaadati@eml.cc

SOME RESULTS IN GENERALIZED ŠERSTNEV SPACES

M. ALIMOHAMMADY, B. LAFUERZA-GUILLÉN AND R. SAADATI

برخی نتایج در فضاهای شرستنف تعمیم یافته

محسن علیمحمدی گروه ریاضی، دانشگاه مازندران، بابلسر برناردو لافورزا - گویلن دانشگاه آلمریا، اسپانیا رضا سعادتی گروه ریاضی، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد آمل، آمل

در این مقاله نشان می دهیم Dکرانداری در فضاهای شرستنف تعمیمیافته از -D فشردگی نتیجه می شود و همانند حالت عادی، عموماً زیرمجموعه های بسته و Dکراندار از یک فضای مشخصه شرستنف D-فشرده نمی باشند. در پایان نشان می دهیم در فضاهای شرستنف تعمیمیافته متناهی البعد هر زیر مجموعه D- فشرده است اگر و فقط اگر بسته و D- کراندار باشد.