Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Hans-Peter A. Künzi; Salvador Romaguera; M. A. Sánchez-Granero On uniformly locally compact quasi-uniform hyperspaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 1, 215-228

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127878

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON UNIFORMLY LOCALLY COMPACT QUASI-UNIFORM HYPERSPACES

H. P. A. KÜNZI, Cape Town, S. ROMAGUERA, Valencia, and M. A. SÁNCHEZ-GRANERO, Almería

(Received July 18, 2001)

Abstract. We characterize those Tychonoff quasi-uniform spaces (X, \mathcal{U}) for which the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity is uniformly locally compact on the family $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ of nonempty compact subsets of X. We deduce, among other results, that the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity of the locally finite quasi-uniformity of a Tychonoff space X is uniformly locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ if and only if X is paracompact and locally compact. We also introduce the notion of a co-uniformly locally compact quasi-uniform space and show that a Hausdorff topological space is σ -compact if and only if its (lower) semi-continuous quasi-uniformity is co-uniformly locally compact. A characterization of those Hausdorff quasi-uniform spaces (X, \mathcal{U}) for which the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity is co-uniformly locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ is obtained.

Keywords: Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity, hyperspace, locally compact, cofinally complete, uniformly locally compact, co-uniformly locally compact

MSC 2000: 54E15, 54B20, 54D45

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper the letters \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} will denote the set of real numbers, the set of integer numbers and the set of positive integer numbers, respectively.

Our basic reference for quasi-uniform and quasi-metric spaces is [9], for general topology it is [6], and for hyperspaces it is [1].

Let us recall that a quasi-uniformity on a set X is a filter \mathscr{U} on $X \times X$ such that

- (i) for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\{(x, x) : x \in X\} \subseteq U$;
- (ii) for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V^2 \subseteq U$.

The second and third listed authors acknowledge the support of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology under grant BFM2000-1111.

A quasi-uniform space is a pair (X, \mathcal{U}) such that X is a (nonempty) set and \mathcal{U} is a quasi-uniformity on X.

Each quasi-uniformity $\mathscr U$ on X generates a topology $\mathscr T(\mathscr U)=\{G\subseteq X\colon$ for each $x\in G$ there is $U\in \mathscr U$ such that $U(x)\subseteq G\}$, where, as usual, $U(x)=\{y\in X\colon (x,y)\in U\}$.

If $\mathscr U$ is a quasi-uniformity on X then the filter $\mathscr U^{-1}=\{U^{-1}\colon U\in\mathscr U\}$ is also a quasi-uniformity on X, called the conjugate of $\mathscr U$ (as usual, $U^{-1}=\{(x,y)\colon (y,x)\in U\}$). Furthermore, the filter $\mathscr U^s\colon =\mathscr U\vee\mathscr U^{-1}$ is the coarsest uniformity on X finer than $\mathscr U$.

Given a topological space X we denote by $\mathscr{P}_0(X)$ (resp. $\mathscr{CL}_0(X)$, $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$), the family of all nonempty subsets (resp. nonempty closed subsets, nonempty compact subsets) of X. If (X, \mathscr{U}) is a quasi-uniform space, $\mathscr{CL}_0(X)$ (resp. $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$) denotes the family of all nonempty $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U})$ -closed subsets (resp. nonempty $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U})$ -compact subsets) of X.

The Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity of a quasi-uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is defined as the quasi-uniformity \mathcal{U}_* on $\mathscr{P}_0(X)$ which has as a base the family of sets of the form

$$U_H = \{(A, B) \in \mathscr{P}_0(X) \times \mathscr{P}_0(X) \colon B \subseteq U(A) \text{ and } A \subseteq U^{-1}(B)\},$$

whenever $U \in \mathscr{U}$ ([2], [14]).

The restriction of \mathscr{U}_* to $\mathscr{CL}_0(X)$ and to $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$ is also denoted by \mathscr{U}_* if no confusion arises.

A filter \mathscr{F} on a (quasi-)uniform space (X,\mathscr{U}) is weakly Cauchy ([5], [8], [9]) provided that for each $U \in \mathscr{U}$, $\bigcap_{F \in \mathscr{F}} U^{-1}(F) \neq \emptyset$. A (quasi-)uniformity \mathscr{U} on a set X is called cofinally complete ([7], [13]) if every weakly Cauchy filter on (X,\mathscr{U}) has a cluster point. In this case, we say that (X,\mathscr{U}) is a cofinally complete quasi-uniform space.

It is well known that a Tychonoff space is paracompact if and only if its fine uniformity is cofinally complete ([5], [7], [8]).

In our context a topological space X will be called locally compact if each point of X has a neighborhood whose closure is compact.

A (quasi-)uniformity \mathscr{U} on a set X is said to be uniformly locally compact ([8], [9]) if there is $U \in \mathscr{U}$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\overline{U(x)}$ is compact. In this case, we say that (X, \mathscr{U}) is a uniformly locally compact (quasi-)uniform space.

It is well known ([7], [8], [9]) that a Tychonoff (quasi-)uniform space is uniformly locally compact if and only if it is locally compact and cofinally complete, and, hence, the fine uniformity of a Tychonoff space X is uniformly locally compact if and only if X is paracompact and locally compact.

Several authors have discussed the preservation of local compactness and uniform local compactness by the Vietoris topology and the Hausdorff-Bourbaki (quasi-)uniformity, respectively. Thus, Michael proved in [15] (see also [4]) that a Tychonoff space X is locally compact if and only if the Vietoris topology of X is locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$. In [3] Burdick characterized uniform local compactness of $(\mathscr{CL}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ in the case that \mathscr{U} is a uniformity on X and showed that the Hausdorff-Bourbaki uniformity of the Euclidean uniformity on \mathbb{R} is not locally compact on $\mathscr{CL}_0(X)$. However, its restriction to $\mathcal{K}_0(\mathbb{R})$ is uniformly locally compact because it was proved in [13] that a uniform space (X,\mathscr{U}) is uniformly locally compact if and only if $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact. In [13], p. 140, a uniformly locally compact quasi-uniform (actually, quasi-metric) space (X,\mathscr{U}) is constructed such that $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is not cofinally complete and, thus, not uniformly locally compact.

These results and examples suggest the problem of characterizing those (Tychonoff) quasi-uniform spaces (X, \mathcal{U}) for which the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity is uniformly locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$. Here we solve this problem and deduce conditions under which the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity of the locally finite quasi-uniformity and of the (lower) semicontinuous quasi-uniformity, respectively, is uniformly locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$. In particular, we prove that the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity of the locally finite quasi-uniformity of a Tychonoff space X is uniformly locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ if and only if X is paracompact and locally compact. The quasi-metric case is also discussed. Finally, we introduce the notion of a co-uniformly locally compact quasi-uniform space and show that a Hausdorff space is σ -compact if and only if its semicontinuous quasi-uniformity is co-uniformly locally compact. A characterization of Hausdorff quasi-uniform spaces (X, \mathcal{U}) for which the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity is co-uniformly locally compact on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ is obtained.

2. Uniform local compactness of $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$

The following lemmas will be useful in establishing our main result.

Lemma 1 ([8], [9]). A quasi-uniform space is uniformly locally compact if and only if it is locally compact and cofinally complete.

Let us recall that a quasi-uniformity \mathscr{U} on a set X is precompact ([9]) provided that for each $U \in \mathscr{U}$ there is a finite subset A of X such that U(A) = X. \mathscr{U} is said to be totally bounded ([9]) if \mathscr{U}^s is a totally bounded uniformity on X. Of course, \mathscr{U} is totally bounded if and only if \mathscr{U}^{-1} is so. It is well known that every totally bounded quasi-uniformity is precompact but the converse does not hold in general.

- **Lemma 2.** Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a compact quasi-uniform space such that for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$, $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact. Then \mathcal{U}^{-1} is hereditarily precompact.
- Proof. Let A be a nonempty subset of X. Since (X, \mathcal{U}) is compact, the closure \overline{A} of A in $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}))$ belongs to $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$. So $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|\overline{A}$ is precompact. It immediately follows that $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|A$ is precompact.

Following [19], a filter \mathscr{F} on a quasi-uniform space (X, \mathscr{U}) is left K-Cauchy provided that for each $U \in \mathscr{U}$ there is $F_U \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $U(x) \in \mathscr{F}$ for all $x \in F_U$. (X, \mathscr{U}) is said to be left K-complete if every left K-Cauchy filter is convergent ([19]).

Since every left K-Cauchy filter converges to all of its cluster points ([19]) and each left K-Cauchy filter is weakly Cauchy, every cofinally complete quasi-uniform space is left K-complete.

- **Lemma 3** ([11]). Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a T_1 quasi-uniform space and let A be a precompact subspace of (X, \mathcal{U}) . If $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is left K-complete, hence $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|A$ is precompact.
- **Lemma 4.** Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a T_1 quasi-uniform space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{U}) is compact and \mathcal{U}^{-1} is hereditarily precompact.
- Proof. It is proved in [12] that if (X, \mathcal{U}) is a T_1 quasi-uniform space, then $(\mathscr{P}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is compact if and only if (X, \mathscr{U}) is compact and \mathscr{U}^{-1} is hereditarily precompact, and it is proved in [11] that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is compact if and only if $(\mathscr{P}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is compact. Combining these results we obtain the conclusion. \square
- **Theorem 1.** Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a Tychonoff quasi-uniform space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{U}) is uniformly locally compact and for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$, $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact.
- Proof. Suppose that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact. By [13], Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, (X, \mathscr{U}) is uniformly locally compact. Now let $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$. Then K is a precompact subspace of (X, \mathscr{U}) . Since, by Lemma 1, $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is cofinally complete and every cofinally complete quasi-uniform space is left K-complete, it follows from Lemma 3 that $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact.

Conversely, by assumption there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\overline{U(x)}$ is compact. Let $V \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that $V^3 \subseteq U$. We shall prove that for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$, $\overline{V_H(K)}$ is compact in $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$.

Let $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$. We first show that $\overline{V(K)}$ is a compact subset of (X, \mathscr{U}) . Indeed, let $(z_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in $\overline{V(K)}$. Then there is a finite subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of K such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(x_i)$. On the other hand, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is $a_{\lambda} \in K$ with

 $z_{\lambda} \in \overline{V^2(a_{\lambda})}$. (In fact, fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $z_{\lambda} \in \overline{V(K)}$, for each $W \in \mathscr{U}$ there exists $b_W \in K$ such that $W(z_{\lambda}) \cap V(b_W) \neq \emptyset$; by compactness of K, the net $(b_W)_{W \in \mathscr{U}}$ has a cluster point $a_{\lambda} \in K$; so $z_{\lambda} \in \overline{V^2(a_{\lambda})}$.)

Since $a_{\lambda} \in V(x_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, we deduce that $V^2(a_{\lambda}) \subseteq V^3(x_i)$ and thus $\{z_{\lambda} \colon \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V^3(x_i)}$. Hence, the net $(z_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is contained in the compact set $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{U(x_i)}$, so it has a cluster point which obviously belongs to $\overline{V(K)}$. We conclude that $\overline{V(K)}$ is a compact subset of (X, \mathcal{U}) .

By our hypothesis, $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|K'$ is precompact for each $K' \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$ and, in particular, for each $K' \in \mathscr{K}_0(\overline{V(K)})$. Therefore, by Lemma 2, $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|\overline{V(K)}$ is hereditarily precompact and by Lemma 4, $(\mathscr{K}_0(\overline{V(K)}), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is compact.

Finally, let $(K_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in $\overline{V_H(K)}$. Then $K_{\lambda} \subseteq \overline{V(K)}$ and thus $K_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}_0(\overline{V(K)})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence, there is $C \in \mathcal{K}_0(\overline{V(K)})$ which is a cluster point of $(K_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}_*)$. Obviously $C \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$ and $C \in \overline{V_H(K)}$. We have shown that $\overline{V_H(K)}$ is compact in $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$. Hence $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact.

Corollary 1 ([13]). Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a Tychonoff uniform space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{U}) is uniformly locally compact.

Next we apply Theorem 1 to study uniform local compactness on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ of the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity corresponding to some canonical quasi-uniformities of a Tychonoff space X.

Following [9] we denote by \mathscr{P} , \mathscr{LF} , \mathscr{PF} and \mathscr{SC} the Pervin quasi-uniformity, the locally finite quasi-uniformity, the point finite quasi-uniformity and the (lower) semicontinuous quasi-uniformity, respectively, of a (topological) space X.

Let us recall that a cover \mathscr{G} of a topological space X is said to be compact finite if each compact subset of X meets only finitely many members of \mathscr{G} .

Let \mathscr{A} be the collection of all compact finite open covers \mathscr{G} of a topological space X. For each $\mathscr{G} \in \mathscr{A}$ let $U_{\mathscr{G}} = \bigcup_{x \in X} (\{x\} \times \bigcap \{G \in \mathscr{G} \colon x \in G\})$. Then $\{U_{\mathscr{G}} \colon \mathscr{G} \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is a subbase for a transitive quasi-uniformity \mathscr{CF} for X, called the *compact finite* quasi-uniformity of X.

It is clear that $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{LF} \subseteq \mathscr{CF} \subseteq \mathscr{PF}$.

On the other hand, observe that if X is a locally compact space then $\mathscr{LF}=\mathscr{CF}.$

Lemma 5. Let X be a space. Then $\mathscr{CF}|K$ is totally bounded for all $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{A} be the collection of all finite open covers \mathscr{G} of X. For each $\mathscr{G} \in \mathscr{A}$ let $U_{\mathscr{G}} = \bigcup_{x \in X} (\{x\} \times \bigcap \{G \in \mathscr{G} : x \in G\})$. It is well known ([9]) that $\{U_{\mathscr{G}} : \mathscr{G} \in \mathscr{A}\}$

is a subbase for the Pervin quasi-uniformity \mathscr{P} . So we immediately deduce that for each $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$, $\mathscr{CF} | K = \mathscr{P} | K$. Then the result follows from the well-known facts that \mathscr{P} is totally bounded and that total boundedness is a hereditary property. \square

Proposition 1. Let X be a Tychonoff space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathscr{CF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, \mathscr{CF}) is uniformly locally compact.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Lemma 5. \Box

Proposition 2. Let X be a space. Then $\mathscr{PF} = \mathscr{CF}$ if and only if $\mathscr{PF}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for all $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathscr{PF}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for all $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$. Let $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$, \mathscr{G} a point finite open cover of X and $U \in \mathscr{PF}$ be such that $U(x) = \bigcap \{G \in \mathscr{G}: x \in G\}$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a finite subset F of K such that $K \subseteq U^{-1}(F)$. Let $G_0 \in \mathscr{G}$ be such that $G_0 \cap K \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $x \in F$ such that $G_0 \cap U^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in G_0 \cap U^{-1}(x)$. Then $x \in U(y) = \bigcap \{G \in \mathscr{G}: y \in G\}$, so $x \in G_0$. It follows that $F \cap G_0 \neq \emptyset$. Since \mathscr{G} is point finite and F is finite, \mathscr{G} is compact finite. We conclude that $\mathscr{PF} = \mathscr{CF}$.

The converse follows from Lemma 5.

Corollary 2. Let X be a Tychonoff space such that $\mathscr{PF} \neq \mathscr{CF}$. Then $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{PF}_*)$ is not uniformly locally compact.

Denote by \mathscr{FN} the fine uniformity of a Tychonoff space X. It then follows from Corollary 1 and results cited in Section 1 that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{FN}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if X is paracompact and locally compact.

Here, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3. Let X be a Tychonoff space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if X is paracompact and locally compact.

Proof. Suppose that $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact. By Theorem 1, (X, \mathcal{LF}) is uniformly locally compact. Therefore X is locally compact and \mathcal{LF} is cofinally complete by Lemma 1. Hence X is paracompact by the third corollary of Theorem 2.2 in [8] that states that \mathcal{LF} is cofinally complete if and only if X is paracompact.

Conversely, if X is a paracompact locally compact space, then \mathscr{LF} is cofinally complete, so by Lemma 1, \mathscr{LF} is a uniformly locally compact quasi-uniformity. Furthermore, since $\mathscr{LF} = \mathscr{CF}$, it follows from Lemma 5 that for each $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$, $\mathscr{LF}^{-1}|K$ is precompact. Therefore $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact by Theorem 1.

- **Remark 1.** a) Note that the proof of Proposition 3 shows that if X is a (Tychonoff) paracompact locally compact space, then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact and $\mathcal{LF} = \mathcal{CF}$.
- b) We also have (compare Proposition 1) that for a Tychonoff space X, $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, \mathscr{LF}) is uniformly locally compact.
- c) Since a space is metacompact if and only if \mathscr{PF} is cofinally complete ([8]), the existence of metacompact locally compact spaces that are not paracompact shows that uniform local compactness of (X, \mathscr{PF}) does not imply uniform local compactness of $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{PF}_*)$ in general.
- **Lemma 6.** Let X be a locally compact Tychonoff space, and suppose that X is not discrete. Then there exists a compact subspace K of X such that $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is not precompact.
- Proof. Let x be a non-isolated point of X and let K be a compact neighborhood of x. Then there exists a sequence $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open neighborhoods of x such that $G_n\subseteq K$ and $\overline{G_{n+1}}\subseteq G_n$ with $G_n\neq G_{n+1}$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $G=\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}G_n=\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\overline{G_n}$, which is clearly a nonempty compact set, and let $A_{-n}=G_n\backslash G$ and $A_n=X$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. It is clear that A_n is open and $A_n\subseteq A_{n+1}$ whenever $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}A_n=X$ and $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}A_n=\emptyset$, and hence $\mathscr{A}=\{A_n\colon n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ is an open spectrum. Let $U\in\mathscr{SC}$ be such that $U(x)=\bigcap\{A\in\mathscr{A}\colon x\in A\}$ for all $x\in X$ (see [9], Theorem 2.12). It is easy to check that, for any finite subset F of $X,U^{-1}(F)=X\backslash A_n$ for some $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, and hence $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is not precompact, since $A_n\cap K\neq\emptyset$ for each $n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 7 ([8], [9]). A Tychonoff space is Lindelöf if and only if \mathscr{SC} is cofinally complete.

Proposition 4. Let X be a Tychonoff space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{SC}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if X is discrete and countable.

Proof. Suppose that X is discrete and countable. By Lemmas 1 and 7, (X, \mathscr{SC}) is uniformly locally compact. Clearly $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for all $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$. Then $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{SC}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact by Theorem 1.

Conversely, it follows from Theorem 1 that (X, \mathscr{SC}) is uniformly locally compact and $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for all $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$. Therefore X is discrete and Lindelöf by Lemmas 6 and 7, so it is discrete and countable.

Example 1. From Propositions 3 and 4 it follows that $(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact while $(\mathcal{K}_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{SC}_*)$ is not.

Example 2. The result that $(\mathscr{K}_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathscr{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact can be extended to any Tychonoff topological group X of pointwise countable type whose left uniformity is cofinally complete. In fact, it was proved in [20] that such a topological group is locally compact and since every Tychonoff topological group of pointwise countable type is paracompact, it follows from Proposition 3 that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{LF}_*)$ is uniformly locally compact.

By \mathscr{T}_V we shall denote the Vietoris topology of a topological space X.

Remark 2. It is proved in [18] that for a quasi-uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) , one has $\mathcal{T}_V = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{U}_*)$ on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$.

Hence, by Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ of the locally finite quasi-uniformity of any (Tychonoff) paracompact locally compact space X is compatible with the Vietoris topology of X.

Let (X, d) be a bounded quasi-pseudo-metric space. Then the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-pseudo-metric d_* on $\mathscr{P}_0(X)$ is defined by

$$d_*(A,B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} d(A,b), \sup_{a \in A} d(a,B) \right\}$$

whenever $A, B \in \mathscr{P}_0(X)$ ([2], [14]).

The quasi-pseudo-metric d_* generates on $\mathscr{P}_0(X)$ the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-uniformity of the quasi-uniformity \mathscr{U}_d , where \mathscr{U}_d is the quasi-uniformity on X generated by d (see [9], page 3), i.e. $\mathscr{U}_{d_*} = (\mathscr{U}_d)_*$.

Let us recall ([16]) that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a quasi-pseudo-metric space (X,d) is right K-Cauchy provided that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is n_{ε} such that $d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ whenever $m \ge n \ge n_{\varepsilon}$.

A quasi-metric space (X, d) is said to be uniformly locally compact if (X, \mathcal{U}_d) is a uniformly locally compact quasi-uniform space.

Thus Theorem 1 can be restated for quasi-metric spaces, as follows.

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a Tychonoff quasi-metric space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), d_*)$ is uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, d) is uniformly locally compact and each convergent sequence in X has a right K-Cauchy subsequence.

Proof. Let (X,d) be a Tychonoff quasi-metric space such that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X),d_*)$ is uniformly locally compact. By Theorem 1, (X,d) is uniformly locally compact and for each $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$, $(\mathscr{U}_d)^{-1}|K$ is precompact. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X which is $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U}_d)$ -convergent to a point $x\in X$. Let $Y=\{x\}\cup\{x_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}$. Then $(Y,\mathscr{U}_d|Y)$

is a compact quasi-uniform space and for each $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(Y)$, $(\mathscr{U}_d)^{-1}|K$ is precompact because such a K also belongs to $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$. Thus $(\mathscr{U}_d)^{-1}|Y$ is hereditarily precompact by Lemma 2. Hence, the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a right K-Cauchy subsequence by [10], Theorem 3.

Conversely, suppose that there is $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$ such that $(\mathcal{U}_d)^{-1}|K$ is not precompact. Then there exist a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in K and a $U \in \mathcal{U}_d$ such that $x_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n U^{-1}(x_i)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a cluster point (in K), it follows from our hypothesis that $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a right K-Cauchy subsequence, which contradicts that $x_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n U^{-1}(x_i)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We conclude that for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$, $(\mathcal{U}_d)^{-1}|K$ is precompact. Hence $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), d_*)$ is uniformly locally compact by Theorem 1.

Remark 3. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a Tychonoff uniform space. Since $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is (uniformly) locally compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{U}) is (uniformly) locally compact ([4], [15] and Corollary 1 above), it seems natural to conjecture, in the light of Lemma 1, that cofinal completeness is also preserved by the Hausdorff-Bourbaki uniformity on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$, and thus, Corollary 1 follows in an easy and elegant way, as a factorization. Unfortunately, this is not the case, not even for metric spaces as is shown in [13], Remark 3.6. Furthermore, for a metric space (X, d), cofinal completeness of $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), d_*)$ is equivalent to its uniform local compactness and thus to uniform local compactness of (X, d) ([13], Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5). In this direction, it seems interesting to note that if X is a metrizable topological group which admits a compatible cofinally complete metric d, then X is locally compact ([17]) and, hence, $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), d_*)$ is uniformly locally compact.

3. Co-uniform local compactness of $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$

In [21] the third author introduced and studied the notion of a D-co-Lebesgue quasi-uniform space. In particular, it is proved that the fine transitive quasi-uniformity of a space X is D-co-Lebesgue if and only if X is metacompact, and that a quasi-uniform space is D-co-Lebesgue if and only if it is cofinally co-complete, where a quasi-uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is called cofinally co-complete if every weakly Cauchy filter on (X, \mathcal{U}^{-1}) has a cluster point in $(X, \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}))$.

In this context it seems natural to propose an appropriate notion of "co-uniform local compactness". Thus, we say that a quasi-uniformity $\mathscr U$ on a set X is co-uniformly locally compact if there exists $U\in\mathscr U$ such that for each $x\in X$, $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$ is compact. In this case, the quasi-uniform space $(X,\mathscr U)$ is said to be co-uniformly locally compact. (Here, closure means closure in $(X,\mathscr T(\mathscr U))$.)

In Theorem 3 below we shall show that the characterization of uniform local compactness of $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ obtained in Theorem 1 admits an analogous result for co-uniformly locally compact Hausdorff quasi-uniform spaces.

Similarly to the proofs of Proposition 5.32 and Theorem 5.33 of [9] we can show the following result.

Proposition 5. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a quasi-uniform space. Then

- 1. (X, \mathcal{U}) is cofinally co-complete if and only if every directed open cover of (X, \mathcal{U}) is a quasi-uniform cover in (X, \mathcal{U}^{-1}) .
- 2. If (X, \mathcal{U}) is locally compact and cofinally co-complete, then (X, \mathcal{U}) is co-uniformly locally compact.
- 3. If (X, \mathcal{U}) is co-uniformly locally compact, then (X, \mathcal{U}) is cofinally co-complete.

Our next proposition shows that a co-uniformly locally compact quasi-uniform space need not be locally compact. We shall use the following observation.

Remark 4. It is well known ([9], Corollary 2.15) that if X is a space, then for each $U \in \mathscr{SC}$ there is a countable subset D of X such that U(D) = X. However, it can be easily proved that for each $U \in \mathscr{SC}$ there is a countable subset D of X such that $(U \cap U^{-1})(D) = X$. This fact will be used in the proof of Proposition 6 below.

Proposition 6. A Hausdorff space is σ -compact if and only if \mathscr{SC} is co-uniformly locally compact.

Proof. Suppose that X is a Hausdorff σ -compact space. Then there is an increasing sequence $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact subsets of X such that $X=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}K_n$. Define $A_{-n}=X\setminus K_n$ and $A_n=X$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Thus $\{A_n\colon n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ is an open spectrum. So $U=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(A_n\setminus A_{n-1})\times A_n$ is an element of \mathscr{SC} (see [9], Theorem 2.12). Now let $x\in X$. There is $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $x\in A_{-n}\setminus A_{-(n+1)}$. Therefore $U^{-1}(x)=X\setminus A_{-(n+1)}=K_{n+1}$. We conclude that \mathscr{SC} is co-uniformly locally compact.

Conversely, let X be a (not necessarily Hausdorff) space such that \mathscr{SC} is couniformly locally compact. Let $U \in \mathscr{SC}$ such that $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$ is compact for all $x \in X$. By Remark 4, there is a countable subset D of X such that $(U \cap U^{-1})(D) = X$. Hence $X = \bigcup_{x \in D} U^{-1}(x) = \bigcup_{x \in D} \overline{U^{-1}(x)}$. Therefore X is σ -compact.

However, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 7. Let X be a space such that \mathscr{LF} is co-uniformly locally compact. Then X is locally compact.

Proof. Let \mathscr{G} be a locally finite open cover of X such that $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$ is compact for all $x \in X$, where $U = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times \bigcap \{G \in \mathscr{G} \colon x \in G\}$. Let $x \in X$ and let A be an open neighborhood of x such that $A \subseteq U(x)$ and A meets only a finite number of elements of \mathscr{G} , namely G_1, \ldots, G_n .

For each finite subset J of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $A\cap\left(\bigcap_{j\in J}G_j\right)\neq\emptyset$, let $x_J\in A\cap\left(\bigcap_{j\in J}G_j\right)$. We show that $A\subseteq\bigcup_JU^{-1}(x_J)$. Indeed, let $y\in A$ and $J_y=\{j\in\{1,\ldots,n\}\colon y\in G_j\}$. Then $x_{J_y}\in\bigcap_{j\in J_y}G_j$, so $y\in U^{-1}(x_J)$. Hence $A\subseteq\bigcup_JU^{-1}(x_J)$, and thus \overline{A} is a compact neighborhood of x.

Let us recall ([9]) that a quasi-uniformity $\mathscr U$ on a set X is said to be point symmetric if $\mathscr T(\mathscr U)\subseteq\mathscr T(\mathscr U^{-1})$.

Lemma 8. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a cofinally co-complete T_1 quasi-uniform space. Then \mathcal{U} is point symmetric.

Proof. Let \mathscr{F} be a filter on X which is $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U}^{-1})$ -convergent to a point $x \in X$. Then \mathscr{F} is a weakly Cauchy filter on (X, \mathscr{U}^{-1}) and thus it has a $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U})$ -cluster point $y \in X$. Since (X, \mathscr{U}) is T_1 , it follows that x = y, so \mathscr{F} is $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U})$ -convergent to x. We conclude that \mathscr{U} is point symmetric.

Lemma 9. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a quasi-uniform space such that $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is point symmetric. Then $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathscr{K}_0(X)$ and $U \in \mathscr{U}$. Then, there exists $V \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $V_H^{-1}(K) \subseteq U_H(K)$. Since K is compact there exists a finite subset K' of K such that $K \subseteq V(K')$, so $K \in V_H(K')$ and hence $K' \in V_H^{-1}(K) \subseteq U_H(K)$. Thus $K \subseteq U^{-1}(K')$. We conclude that $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact.

Theorem 3. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a Hausdorff quasi-uniform space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{U}) is co-uniformly locally compact and $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact. By Proposition 5, $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is cofinally co-complete. Furthermore, it is a Hausdorff quasi-uniform space because Hausdorffness is preserved by the Vietoris topology \mathscr{T}_V of $(X, \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U}))$ on $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$, and $\mathscr{T}_V \subseteq \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{U}_*)$ on $\mathscr{K}_0(X)$ (see [18]). Therefore \mathscr{U}_* is

point symmetric on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$ by Lemma 8. It follows from Lemma 9 that $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$.

Let us prove that (X, \mathcal{U}) is co-uniformly locally compact. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that $\overline{U_H^{-1}(K)}$ is compact for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$. Then $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$ is compact for each $x \in X$. Indeed, given $x \in X$ let $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$. It easily follows that $\overline{\{x_\lambda\}} \in \overline{U_H^{-1}(\{x\})}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and since $\overline{U_H^{-1}(\{x\})}$ is compact, there exists $C \in \overline{U_H^{-1}(\{x\})}$ which is a cluster point of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Therefore y is a cluster point of $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for each $y \in C$. We have shown that $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$ is compact, and hence (X, \mathcal{U}) is co-uniformly locally compact.

Conversely, suppose that (X, \mathcal{U}) is co-uniformly locally compact and $\mathcal{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that $\overline{U^{-1}(x)}$ is compact for each $x \in X$ and let $V \in \mathcal{U}$ with $V^3 \subseteq U$.

Let $K \in \mathcal{H}_0(X)$. We shall prove that $\overline{V_H^{-1}(K)}$ is compact. Let $(K_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a net in $\overline{V_H^{-1}(K)}$. Then $K_\lambda \subseteq \overline{V^{-1}(K)}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and thus $K_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_0(\overline{V^{-1}(K)})$. Next we show that $(\mathcal{H}_0(\overline{V^{-1}(K)}), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is compact. Since $\mathcal{U}^{-1}[K]$ is precompact,

Next we show that $(\mathscr{K}_0(\overline{V^{-1}(K)}), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is compact. Since $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|K$ is precompact, there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of K such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V^{-1}(x_i)$. Hence

 $\overline{V^{-1}(K)} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{U^{-1}(x_i)}$. Therefore $\overline{V^{-1}(K)}$ is compact. Since, by our hypothesis, $\mathscr{U}^{-1}|K'$ is precompact whenever $K' \in \mathscr{K}_0(\overline{V^{-1}(K)})$, it follows from Lemmas 2 and 4 that $(\mathscr{K}_0(\overline{V^{-1}(K)}), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is compact.

Consequently, the net $(K_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has a cluster point C in $\mathscr{K}_0(\overline{V^{-1}(K)})$, and hence $\overline{V_H^{-1}(K)}$ is compact. We conclude that $(\mathscr{K}_0(X), \mathscr{U}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact.

The following result should be compared with Propositions 1 and 3 and Remark 1b).

Corollary 3. Let X be a Hausdorff space. Then

- a) $(\mathscr{K}_0(X),\mathscr{CF}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact if and only if (X,\mathscr{CF}) is co-uniformly locally compact.
- b) $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact if and only if (X, \mathcal{LF}) is co-uniformly locally compact.

Proof. a) Apply Theorem 3 and Lemma 5.

b) If $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact, then (X, \mathcal{LF}) is co-uniformly locally compact by Theorem 3. Conversely, if (X, \mathcal{LF}) is co-uniformly locally compact, then X is a locally compact space by Proposition 7, so $\mathscr{CF} = \mathcal{LF}$. Hence $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{LF}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact by part a).

Related to the proof of the "only if" part in Theorem 3 above, we give an example of a T_1 quasi-uniform (actually, quasi-metric) space (X, \mathcal{U}) such that $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{U}_*)$ is not T_1 .

Example 3. Let $X = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and let d be the quasi-metric on X given by d(1/n, 1/m) = 1/m if $n \neq m$, and d(1/n, 1/n) = 0, whenever $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly the topology generated by d is the co-finite topology on X.

Let $Y = \{1/2n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$ and an easy computation shows that $d_*(X, Y) = 0$. Hence the Hausdorff-Bourbaki quasi-pseudo-metric d_* is not a quasi-metric on $\mathcal{K}_0(X)$.

Lemma 10. Let X be a space and $K \in \mathcal{K}_0(X)$. Then $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is precompact if and only if every lower semicontinuous real-valued function on X is bounded on K.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is precompact and let f be a lower semicontinuous real-valued function on X. Thus $U\in\mathscr{SC}$ where $U=\{(x,y)\colon f(x)-f(y)<1\}$. Let K' be a finite subset of K such that $K\subseteq U^{-1}(K')$. Put $M=\max\{f(z)\colon z\in K'\}$. Then $f(x)\leqslant 1+M$ for all $x\in K$. Since f is also lower semicontinuous on K, it is lower bounded on K ([9], Proposition 3.17). Hence f is bounded on K.

Conversely, let f be a lower semicontinuous real-valued function f on X and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $U = \{(x,y) \colon f(x) - f(y) < \varepsilon\}$. By assumption, f(K) is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R} , so there is a finite subset K' of K such that $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in K'} |f(z) - \varepsilon, f(z) + \varepsilon[$. Hence $K \subseteq U^{-1}(K')$. Consequently, $\mathscr{SC}^{-1}|K$ is precompact.

Proposition 8. Let X be a Hausdorff space. Then $(\mathcal{K}_0(X), \mathcal{SC}_*)$ is co-uniformly locally compact if and only if X is a σ -compact space such that every lower semi-continuous real-valued function on X is bounded on each compact subset of X.

Proof. Apply Proposition 6, Theorem 3 and Lemma 10.

References

- [1] G. Beer: Topologies on Closed and Convex Closed Sets. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 268. Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1993.
- [2] G. Berthiaume: On quasi-uniformities in hyperspaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1977), 335–343.
- [3] B. S. Burdick: Local compactness of hyperspaces. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 704 (1993), 28–33.
- [4] M. M. Coban: Note sur la topologie exponentielle. Fund. Math. 71 (1971), 27–41.
- [5] H. H. Corson: The determination of paracompactness by uniformities. Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 185–190.
- [6] R. Engelking: General Topology. Polish Sci. Publ., Warsaw, 1977.

- [7] N. R. Howes: Modern Analysis and Topology. University text. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [8] P. Fletcher and W. F. Lindgren: C-complete quasi-uniform spaces. Arch. Math. (Basel) 30 (1978), 175–180.
- [9] P. Fletcher and W. F. Lindgren: Quasi-Uniform Spaces. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [10] H. P. A. Künzi, M. Mršević, I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy: Convergence, precompactness and symmetry in quasi-uniform spaces. Math. Japonica 38 (1993), 239–253.
- [11] H. P. A. Künzi, S. Romaguera: Left K-completeness of the Hausdorff quasi-uniformity. Rostock. Math. Kollog. 51 (1997), 167–176.
- [12] H. P. A. Künzi, S. Romaguera: Well-quasi-ordering and the Hausdorff quasi-uniformity. Topology Appl. 85 (1998), 207–218.
- [13] H. P. A. Künzi and S. Romaguera: Quasi-metric spaces, quasi-metric hyperspaces and uniform local compactness. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 30 Suppl. (1999), 133–144.
- [14] H. P. A. Künzi and C. Ryser: The Bourbaki quasi-uniformity. Topology Proc. 20 (1995), 161–183.
- [15] E. Michael: Topologies on spaces of subsets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 152–182.
- [16] I. L. Reilly, P. V. Subrahmanyam and M. K. Vamanamurthy: Cauchy sequences in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces. Monatsh. Math. 93 (1982), 127–140.
- [17] M. D. Rice: A note on uniform paracompactness. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), 359–362.
- [18] J. Rodríguez-López and S. Romaguera: The relationship between the Vietoris topology and the Hausdorff quasi-uniformity. Topology Appl 124 (2002), 451–464.
- [19] S. Romaguera: On hereditary precompactness and completeness in quasi-uniform spaces. Acta Math. Hungar. 73 (1996), 159–178.
- [20] S. Romaguera and M. Sanchis: Locally compact topological groups and cofinal completeness. J. London Math. Soc. 62 (2000), 451–460.
- [21] M. A. Sánchez-Granero: Covering axioms, directed GF-spaces and quasi-uniformities. Publ. Math. Debrecen 61 (2002), 357–381.

Authors' addresses: H. P. A. Künzi, Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa, e-mail: kunzi@maths.uct.ac.za; S. Romaguera, Escuela de Caminos, Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46071 Valencia, Spain, e-mail: sromague@mat.upv.es; M. A. Sánchez-Granero, Area de Geometría y Topología, Facultad de Ciencias Experimentales, Universidad de Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain, e-mail: misanche@ual.es.